136



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Fuzzy Decision Making in Medical Diagnosis Using an Advanced Distance Measure on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets

Palash Dutta^{*} and Soumendra Goala

Department of Mathematics, Dibrugarh University, Dibrugarh, Assam, India

Received: June 20, 2018

Revised: August 8, 2018

Accepted: August 15, 2018

Abstract:

Background:

Decision making in medical diagnosis is becoming a vast research field in medical science. In the last few decades, the role of distance measure in decision science is significant. Various distance measures on IFSs have been developed by many researchers and used in medical diagnosis in last few decades.

Objective:

Medical diagnosis is one of the major decision-making situation in medical science. From the existing research and knowledge in medical science, the major, as well as minor symptoms of almost all disease, are recorded. In the initial stage of any disease, the symptoms of the patients are observed carefully and comparing the symptoms one can suggest the disease may be suffered by the patient. Therefore, an attempt has been made to practice a fuzzy decision-making approach using intuitionistic fuzzy sets. In addition, a novel distance measure on IFSs has been introduced.

Uncertainty in Medical Diagnosis:

Uncertainty is a factor which makes the decision-making process more difficult in medical diagnosis. Everyone is different from the other at physical level as well as mental level, symptoms of a disease expressed by patients are linguistic in nature and vary person to person. For example, the pain suffered in stomach by a patient usually expressed in linguistic expressions such as much, too much, severe *etc*. From the described symptoms by patients, a physician decides possible disease suffered by the patients. For this reason, in medical diagnosis, decision-making under uncertainty arises.

Result:

In this paper, a new distance measure between two Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets (IFSs) has been proposed. The proposed distance measure has been used in a decision-making problem of a medical diagnosis problem. In addition, the result has been analyzed by comparing with the existing result of medical diagnosis.

Conclusion:

The result obtained by the case study carried out with the help of the proposed distance measure is analyzed by comparing results with the existing result of medical diagnosis. This is found to be very much similar to the existing result of medical diagnosis. This concludes the efficiency and reliability of our proposed distance measure.

Keywords: Intuitionistic fuzzy Set, Decision making, Distance measure, Medical diagnosis, Fuzzy optimization.

1. INTRODUCTION

Initially, Zadeh in 1965, introduced the concept of Fuzzy Set Theory (FST) [1]. Later, in 1986, Atanassov

* Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Mathematics, Dibrugarh University, Dibrugarh, Assam, India; Tel: +919435184995; E-mail: palash.dtt@gmail.com

developed the system of IFS [2] as an extension of classic fuzzy set theory. In fuzzy set theory, an element in the universal set is assigned to a membership degree. But, in IFSs theory, an element in the universal set is assigned to each element a membership degree, a non-membership degree and a hesitation degree. This is one of the main reason why IFS has been treated as a more effective and efficient concept than the FST to deal with the uncertainty in decision making. In recent years, significant effort has been made by researchers to show efficiency of IFS in the uncertainty modelling problems and applicability in a wide range of areas, such as decision making, fuzzy optimization, pattern recognition, medical diagnosis *etc.*

The similarity measure is important tools that can be used in decision-making problem to deal with uncertainty through IFS theory. Various distance measures have been proposed by different researchers. It has been observed that different distance measure produces different values while measuring the distance degree between two IFSs. Also, sometimes existing distance measures are not able to give an appropriate and convenient result for a pair of IFSs. For this reason, it is always necessary to derive advanced measures for better decision making.

Several similarity measures have been introduced on IFS by many researchers. Li *et al.* in 2002 [3], Liang *et al.* in 2003 [4], Li *et al.* 2007 [5], Xu in 2007 [6] and in 2008 [7] developed some similarity measures on IFSs. Li *et al.* introduced a new axiomatic definition of a similarity measure for IFSs. Later, Mitchell in 2003 developed a statistical approach as an extension of Li's similarity measure and introduced some examples [8]. Hung and Yang in 2004 generalized the Hausdorff distance and proposed three new similarity measures on IFSs [9]. Using the cosine function, Ye in 2011 proposed a new similarity measure and a weighted similarity measure [10]. Hwang *et al.* in 2012, presented a new similarity measure by utilizing Sugeno integral and used in clustering analysis [11]. Xu in 2007 developed some similarity measure [12] was developed by Boran and Akay in 2014 that connects two parameters norm and the level of uncertainty. An axiomatic approach of the similarity measure of IFS [13] was developed by Li *et al.* in 2012.

Distance measures and similarity measures have some significance and importance in decision-making situations. Many researchers are also studied and developed several distance measures and used in various field of decision-making situations. Szmidt and Kacprzyk in 2000 developed the Hamming distance measure and Euclidean distance measure extending the original Hamming and Euclidean distance measure [14]. Later, Grzegorzewski in 2004 developed the Hausdorff distance measure between two IFSs [15]. Then, Szmidt and Kacprzyk, in 2004 proposed a distance measure for IFSs [16]. Wang and Xin in 2005 developed a new distance measure [17] using the Hausdorff distance measure developed by Grzegorzewski. In a similar way, Park *et al.* in 2009 also developed a new distance measure [18] as an extension of distance measure developed by Wang and Xin. Then, Maheshwari and Srivastava in 2016 proposed a new distance measure [19] using the logarithmic function. Ngan *et al.*, in 2018 proposed the HMax-distance measure between two IFSs [20].

1.1. Motivation for this Study

There are several studies carried out to make a decision support system for medical diagnosis using fuzzy similarity and fuzzy distance measure. Though in literature, there are several numbers of distance measures, it is always necessary to have a sufficient number of distance measures to check and validate the results by applying different distance measures to obtain more reliable and convenient result. In addition, the results obtained by some earlier studies [21, 20, 16] are not relevant and contradicts with each other. Also, a recent study [20] shows more appropriate and relevant result which are different from the aforementioned studies. These are the main motivation for us to study in this particular topic.

In this paper, a new distance measure on Intuitionistic fuzzy set has been introduced and used in an existing data set of medical diagnosis studies to show the usability of the proposed distance measure. In the introduction section, the general view of uncertainty in medical diagnosis and the literature review on existing studies of medical diagnosis using IFS, similarity and distance measure has been given. In the preliminary section, the basic concepts and definitions of Intuitionistic fuzzy set theory are explained. The explanations and definitions of existing and well-known distance measures are given in section 3. In the next section, *i.e.* section 4, a new distance measure on Intuitionistic fuzzy sets has been proposed and proved that the proposed distance measure satisfies all necessary conditions. In section 5, a methodology has been discussed to determine the possible disease suffered by any patient using intuitionistic fuzzy set and the proposed distance measure. In section 6, a case study is carried out on existing data sets taken by some earlier researchers. In section 7, a discussion on the obtained result has been elaborated and compared with existing and recent studies and found that the proposed distance measure produces more relevant results.

2. PRELIMINARY

In this section, the basic concepts of fuzzy set, intuitionistic fuzzy sets, and intuitionistic fuzzy distance measures have been discussed.

2.1. Definition: Fuzzy Set [1]

A fuzzy set is one which assigns grades of membership between 0 and 1 to an object within its universe of discourse. If X is a universal set then a fuzzy set A is defined by its membership function.

$$\mu_A: X \to [0,1]$$

2.2. Definition: Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set [2]

An Intuitionistic fuzzy set A on a universe of discourse X is defined as

$$A = \{ (x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) : x \in X \}$$

Where $\mu_A(x) \in [0,1]$ is called the "degree of membership" of x in A, $\nu_A(x) \in [0,1]$ is called the "degree of non-membership" of x in A, and $0 \le \mu_A(x) + \mu_A(x) \le 1$.

Here, $\pi_A(x) = 1 - \mu_A(x) - \nu_A(x)$ is called hesitancy of *x*. $\pi_A(x)$ can be considered as the degree of lack of commitment or uncertainty associated with the membership or non-membership in *A*.

In IFS, each element is assigned to a membership degree and a non-membership degree, whereas FST only assigns each element a membership degree. For this reason, IFS has been used more than the FST to deal with the uncertainty efficiently.

Definition: Let $A \in IFS(X)$ and $B \in IFS(X)$ then:

a)
$$A \subseteq B$$
 iff $\forall x \in X, \mu_A(x) \le \mu_B(x), \nu_A(x) \ge \nu_B(x)$

b)
$$A = B$$
 iff $\forall x \in X$, $\mu_A(x) = \mu_B(x)$, $\nu_A(x) = \nu_B(x)$

c) $A^{C} = \{(x, v_{A}(x), \mu_{A}(x)) : x \in X\}$, Where A^{c} is the complement of A.

d)
$$\bigcap A_{i} = \left\{ \left(x , \wedge \mu_{A_{i}}(x), \vee \nu_{A_{i}}(x) \right) : x \in X \right\}$$

e)
$$\bigcup A_{i} = \left\{ \left(x , \vee \mu_{A_{i}} \left(x \right), \land \nu_{A_{i}} \left(x \right) \right) : x \in X \right\}$$

Definition: Let *d* denote a mapping *d*: *IFS* x *IFS* \rightarrow [0, 1], if *d* (*A*, *B*) satisfies the following properties, *d* (*A*, *B*) is called the distance between *A* \in *IFSs* (*X*) and *B* \in *IFSs*(*X*).

a) 0 ≤ d ≤ 1
b) d (A, B) if and only if A = B
c) d (A, B) = D (B, A)
d) d (A, C) ≤ d (A, B) + d (B, C)

3. SOME WELL-KNOWN DISTANCE MEASURES

Let $A = \{(x_i, \mu_A(x_i), \nu_A(x_i)) : x_i \in X\}$ and $B = \{(x_i, \mu_B(x_i), \nu_B(x_i)) : x_i \in X\}$ be two IFSs, then some well-known distance measures are given below:

a. The normalized Hamming distance [14]:

$$d(A,B) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \left| \mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i}) \right| + \left| \nu_{A}(x_{i}) - \nu_{B}(x_{i}) \right| \right\}$$

$$d^{*}(A,B) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \left| \mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i}) \right| + \left| \nu_{A}(x_{i}) - \nu_{B}(x_{i}) \right| + \left| \pi_{A}(x_{i}) - \pi_{B}(x_{i}) \right| \right\}$$

b. The normalized Euclidean distance [14]:

$$d(A,B) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \left| \mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i}) \right|^{2} + \left| \nu_{A}(x_{i}) - \nu_{B}(x_{i}) \right|^{2} \right\}}$$

$$d^{*}(A,B) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \left| \mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i}) \right|^{2} + \left| \nu_{A}(x_{i}) - \nu_{B}(x_{i}) \right|^{2} + \left| \pi_{A}(x_{i}) - \pi_{B}(x_{i}) \right|^{2} \right\}}$$

c. The distance measure of two IFSs [16]:

$$d(A,B) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{|\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| + |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)| + |\pi_A(x_i) - \pi_B(x_i)|}{|\mu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)| + |\nu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| + |\pi_A(x_i) - \pi_B(x_i)|} \right\}$$

d. The Hausdorff distance measure of two IFSs [15]:

$$d(A,B) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \max\left\{ \left| \mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i) \right|, \left| \nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i) \right| \right\}$$

e. The distance measure of two IFSs is proposed by [17]:

$$d(A,B) = \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \left| \mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i}) \right| + \left| \nu_{A}(x_{i}) - \nu_{B}(x_{i}) \right| + 2\max\left\{ \left| \mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i}) \right|, \left| \nu_{A}(x_{i}) - \nu_{B}(x_{i}) \right| \right\} \right\}$$

f. The distance measure of two IFSs is proposed by [18]:

$$d(A,B) = \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \left| \mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i}) \right| + \left| \nu_{A}(x_{i}) - \nu_{B}(x_{i}) \right| + \left| \pi_{A}(x_{i}) - \pi_{B}(x_{i}) \right| + \max \left\{ \left| \mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i}) \right|, \left| \nu_{A}(x_{i}) - \nu_{B}(x_{i}) \right|, \left| \pi_{A}(x_{i}) - \pi_{B}(x_{i}) \right| \right\}$$

g. The divergence measure of two IFSs is proposed by [19]:

$$d(A,B) = -\log_2\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2n}\sum_{i=1}^n \left\{\sqrt{\mu_A(x_i)\mu_B(x_i)} + \sqrt{\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)} + \sqrt{\pi_A(x_i) - \pi_B(x_i)}\right\}\right)$$

h. The H-max distance measures of intuitionistic fuzzy sets is given by [20]: 1 - r

$$d(A,B) = \frac{1}{3n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \left| \mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i) \right| + \left| \nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i) \right| + \left| \max\left\{ \mu_A(x_i), \nu_B(x_i) \right\} - \max\left\{ \nu_A(x_i), \mu_B(x_i) \right\} \right| \right\}$$

i. WX-Hmax distance measures of intuitionistic fuzzy sets is given by [20]:

$$d(A,B) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{\left| \mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i}) \right| + \left| \nu_{A}(x_{i}) - \nu_{B}(x_{i}) \right|}{4} + \frac{\left| \max\left\{ \mu_{A}(x_{i}), \nu_{B}(x_{i})\right\} - \max\left\{ \nu_{A}(x_{i}), \mu_{B}(x_{i})\right\} \right|}{2} \right\}$$

4. A NOVEL DISTANCE MEASURE ON INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY SETS

Let $X = \{x_i, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be the universe of discourse. Let $A = \{(x_i, \mu_A(x_i), \nu_A(x_i)) : x_i \in X\}$ and $B = \{(x_i, \mu_B(x_i), \nu_B(x_i)) : x_i \in X\}$ be two IFSs. Then the new distance measure can be defined as:

$$d(A,B) = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} \left|\mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i})\right|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} \left|\nu_{A}(x_{i}) - \nu_{B}(x_{i})\right|\right\}}{1 + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} \left|\mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i})\right|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} \left|\nu_{A}(x_{i}) - \nu_{B}(x_{i})\right|\right\}}$$

All the necessary four conditions to be a distance measure are satisfied by the new distance measure and the proof is given below:

(a) $0 \le d(A, B) \le 1$

Proof (a): As we know, $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) : x \in X\}$ for degree of membership $0 \le \mu_A(x) \le 1$ and degree of non-membership $0 \le \nu_A(x) \le 1$.

This implies for
$$A = \{ (x_i, \mu_A(x_i), \nu_A(x_i)) : x_i \in X \}$$
 and $B = \{ (x_i, \mu_B(x_i), \nu_B(x_i)) : x_i \in X \}$
 $0 \le |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| \le 1$ and $0 \le |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)| \le 1$
 $\Rightarrow 0 \le \sin \left\{ \frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| \right\} \le \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 \le \sin \left\{ \frac{\pi}{6} |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)| \right\} \le \frac{1}{2}$
 $\Rightarrow 0 \le \sin \left\{ \frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| \right\} + \sin \left\{ \frac{\pi}{6} |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)| \right\} \le 1$ (1)

$$\Rightarrow 0 \le 1 + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} \left| \mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i) \right| \right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} \left| \nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i) \right| \right\} \le 2$$
⁽²⁾

Therefore, from Eq. (1) and Eq. (2)

$$\Rightarrow 0 \le 2. \frac{\sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\nu_{A}(x_{i}) - \nu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\}}{1 + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\nu_{A}(x_{i}) - \nu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\}} \le 1$$
$$\Rightarrow 0 \le \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\nu_{A}(x_{i}) - \nu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\}}{1 + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\nu_{A}(x_{i}) - \nu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\}} \le 1$$

 $\Rightarrow 0 \le d(A, B) \le 1$ **(b)** d(A, B) = 0 if and only if A = B **Proof:** d(A, B = 0)

Medical Diagnosis Using an Advanced Distance Measure

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\nu_{A}(x_{i}) - \nu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\}}{1 + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\nu_{A}(x_{i}) - \nu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\}} = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{\sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\nu_{A}(x_{i}) - \nu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\}}{1 + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\nu_{A}(x_{i}) - \nu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\}} = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\nu_{A}(x_{i}) - \nu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\} = 0$$

For, this reason

$$\sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)|\right\} = 0 \text{ and } \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)|\right\} = 0$$
$$\Leftrightarrow |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| = 0 \text{ and } |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)| = 0$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \mu_A(x_i) = \mu_B(x_i) \text{ and } \nu_A(x_i) = \nu_B(x_i) \text{ for all } x_i \in X$$
$$\Leftrightarrow A = B$$

Hence, *d* (*A*, *B*) = 0 if and only if *A* = *B*. (c) *d* (*A*, *B*) = *d* (*B*, *A*) **Proof (c):**

$$= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\nu_{A}(x_{i}) - \nu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\}}{1 + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\nu_{A}(x_{i}) - \nu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\}}$$
$$= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_{B}(x_{i}) - \mu_{A}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\nu_{B}(x_{i}) - \nu_{A}(x_{i})|\right\}}{1 + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_{B}(x_{i}) - \mu_{A}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} |\nu_{B}(x_{i}) - \nu_{A}(x_{i})|\right\}}$$

= d(B, A)

To prove the triangular inequality we have to use the following trigonometric inequality:

$$\sin(A+B) \le \sin(A) + \sin(B)$$
 when $A, B \le \frac{\pi}{2}$

Dutta and Goala

Proof:

 $\sin (A) + \sin (B) - \sin (A + B)$ $= \sin A + \sin B - \sin A \cos B - \sin B \cos A$ $= \sin A (1 - \cos B) + \sin B (1 - \cos A) \ge 0$

Since sin A, sin B, 1 - cos $A \ge 0$ for $A, A \le \frac{\pi}{2}$. sin (A) + sin (B) - sin $(A + B) \ge 0$

 $\Rightarrow \sin (A+B) \le \sin (A) + \sin (B) \text{ when } A, B \le \frac{\pi}{2}$

(d) If *A*, *BandC* be three intuitionistic fuzzy sets, then the distance measure satisfies the triangular inequality. Ie. $d(A, C) \le d(A, B) + d(B, C)$.

Proof (d): Consider,
$$A = \{ (x_i, \mu_A(x_i), \nu_A(x_i)) : x_i \in X \}$$
, $B = \{ (x_i, \mu_B(x_i), \nu_B(x_i)) : x_i \in X \}$

and $C = \{ (x_i, \mu_C(x_i), \nu_C(x_i)) : x_i \in X \}$ be three intuitionistic fuzzy sets.

Now, from the basic inequality of real numbers we know that:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{C}(x_{i}) \right| &\leq \left| \mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i}) \right| + \left| \mu_{B}(x_{i}) - \mu_{C}(x_{i}) \right| \\ &\Rightarrow \sin \left\{ \frac{\pi}{6} \left| \mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{C}(x_{i}) \right| \right\} \leq \sin \frac{\pi}{6} \left\{ \left| \mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i}) \right| + \left| \mu_{B}(x_{i}) - \mu_{C}(x_{i}) \right| \right\} \\ &\Rightarrow \sin \left\{ \frac{\pi}{6} \left| \mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{C}(x_{i}) \right| \right\} \leq \sin \left\{ \frac{\pi}{6} \left| \mu_{A}(x_{i}) - \mu_{B}(x_{i}) \right| \right\} + \sin \left\{ \frac{\pi}{6} \left| \mu_{B}(x_{i}) - \mu_{C}(x_{i}) \right| \right\} \end{aligned}$$
(3)

Eq. (3)

(since $\sin (A + B) \leq \sin (A) + \sin (B)$ when $A, B \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$; from above trigonometric inequality) Similarly, $|V_A(x_i) - V_C(x_i)| \leq |V_A(x_i) - V_B(x_i)| + |V_B(x_i) - V_C(x_i)|$ $\Rightarrow \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|V_A(x_i) - V_C(x_i)|\right\} \leq \sin\frac{\pi}{6}\{|V_A(x_i) - V_B(x_i)| + |V_B(x_i) - V_C(x_i)|\}$

$$\Rightarrow \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_C(x_i)|\right\} \le \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_B(x_i) - \nu_C(x_i)|\right\}$$
(4)
ddiag Eq. (2) and Eq. (4) we get

Adding Eq.(3) and Eq.(4) we get

$$\sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_{A}(x_{i})-\mu_{C}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{A}(x_{i})-\nu_{C}(x_{i})|\right\}$$

$$\leq \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_{A}(x_{i})-\mu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{A}(x_{i})-\nu_{B}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_{B}(x_{i})-\mu_{C}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{B}(x_{i})-\nu_{C}(x_{i})|\right\}$$

$$\begin{split} &\Rightarrow 1 + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_{i}(x_{i}) - \mu_{c}(x_{i})\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{2}|\nu_{i}(x_{i}) - \nu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} \\ &\leq 1 + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_{i}(x_{i}) - \mu_{g}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{i}(x_{i}) - \nu_{g}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_{g}(x_{i}) - \mu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{g}(x_{i}) - \nu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{1 + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_{i}(x_{i}) - \mu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{i}(x_{i}) - \nu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_{g}(x_{i}) - \mu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{g}(x_{i}) - \nu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} \\ &\geq 1 \\ \frac{1}{1 + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_{i}(x_{i}) - \mu_{g}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{i}(x_{i}) - \nu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_{g}(x_{i}) - \mu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{g}(x_{i}) - \nu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} \\ &\Rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_{i}(x_{i}) - \mu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{i}(x_{i}) - \nu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_{i}(x_{i}) - \mu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{i}(x_{i}) - \nu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{\sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_{i}(x_{i}) - \mu_{c}(x_{i})\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{i}(x_{i}) - \nu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} \\ &= \frac{\sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_{i}(x_{i}) - \mu_{c}(x_{i})\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{i}(x_{i}) - \nu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} \\ &= \frac{\sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_{i}(x_{i}) - \mu_{c}(x_{i})\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{i}(x_{i}) - \nu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} \\ &\leq \frac{\sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_{i}(x_{i}) - \mu_{g}(x_{i})\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{i}(x_{i}) - \nu_{g}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_{g}(x_{i}) - \mu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{g}(x_{i}) - \nu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} \\ &\leq \frac{\sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_{i}(x_{i}) - \mu_{g}(x_{i})\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{i}(x_{i}) - \nu_{g}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_{g}(x_{i}) - \mu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{g}(x_{i}) - \nu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} \\ &\leq \frac{\sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_{i}(x_{i}) - \mu_{g}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{i}(x_{i}) - \nu_{g}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_{g}(x_{i}) - \mu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{g}(x_{i}) - \nu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{2}{\pi}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\frac{\sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_{i}(x_{i}) - \mu_{g}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{i}(x_{i}) - \nu_{g}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{i}(x_{i}) - \nu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\frac{\sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\mu_{i}(x_{i}) - \mu_{g}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{i}(x_{i}) - \nu_{g}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{i}(x_{i}) - \nu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6}|\nu_{i}(x_{i}) - \nu_{c}(x_{i})|\right\} \\ &= \frac{$$

 $\Rightarrow d(A,C) \le d(A,B) + d(B,C)$

Thus, it is clear that the proposed distance measure satisfies all the metric properties.

5. METHODOLOGY

Let us consider $\{D_1, D_2, ..., D_m\}$ be a set of number of possible diseases and $\{P_1, P_2, ..., P_n\}$ be a set of *n* number of patients. As stated earlier, symptoms for different diseases as well as symptoms of the patients are expressed in linguistic expressions most of the time. For this reason, uncertainty and fuzziness exist for the expression of the symptoms of the patients and disease. So, to express the symptoms, IFSs has been used.

Let $\left\{S_1(\mu_1^{D_i}, \nu_1^{D_i}), S_2(\mu_2^{D_i}, \nu_2^{D_i}), \cdots, S_l(\mu_l^{D_i}, \nu_l^{D_i})\right\}$ be the symptoms the disease D_i and

 $\left\{S_1(\mu_1^{P_j}, v_1^{P_j}), S_2(\mu_2^{P_j}, v_2^{P_j}), \dots, S_l(\mu_l^{P_j}, v_l^{P_j})\right\}$ be the symptoms of patient P_j expressed in IFSs. Therefore, the distance between the symptoms the disease D_i and symptoms of patient P_j can be evaluated as follows using the proposed distance measure:

$$d(D_i, P_j) = \frac{2}{l} \sum_{k=1}^{l} \frac{\sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} \left| \mu_k^{D_i} - \mu_k^{P_j} \right| \right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} \left| \nu_k^{D_i} - \nu_k^{P_j} \right| \right\}}{1 + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} \left| \mu_k^{D_i} - \mu_k^{P_j} \right| \right\} + \sin\left\{\frac{\pi}{6} \left| \nu_k^{D_i} - \nu_k^{P_j} \right| \right\}}$$

Where i = 1, 2, ..., m and j = 1, 2, ..., n.

The distances between each pair of disease and patients can be represented with the help of the following matrix:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} P_{1} & P_{2} & P_{n} \\ D_{1} \begin{bmatrix} d(D_{1},P_{1}) & d(D_{1},P_{2}) & \cdots & d(D_{1},P_{n}) \\ d(D_{2},P_{1}) & d(D_{2},P_{2}) & \cdots & d(D_{2},P_{n}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D_{m} \begin{bmatrix} d(D_{m},P_{1}) & d(D_{m},P_{2}) & \cdots & d(D_{m},P_{n}) \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

Now, distance measures can be used to select the disease suffered by patient. Using the fact that, less distance between two IFSs implies more similarity between them, it can be said that for the patient P_i the disease most possibly

suffered by him or her is the disease corresponding to $\min d(D_i, P_j)$.

6. A CASE STUDY IN MEDICAL DIAGNOSIS

In this case study, the proposed distance measure has been applied in a decision-making problem of medical diagnosis. The data are taken from the study carried out by De *et al.*, in 2001 [21]. In this case study, we consider a set of symptoms *S*, a set of diagnosis *D* and a set of patients *P*. Let $P = \{Arman, Bijit, Chandra, Deva\}, S = \{Temperature, Headache, Stomach pain, Cough, Chest pain\} and D = {Viral fever, Malaria, Typhoid Stomach pain, Chest problem}. Our objective is to carry out the right decision of diagnosis for each patient, from the set of symptoms, for each disease.$

The relation between symptom and disease and relation between patient and symptom is given in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

Symptoms	Viral fever (Vf)	Malaria (Ml)	Typhoid (Ty)	Stomach Pain (Sp)	Chest Problem (Cpr)
Temperature (Tm)	(0.4, 0.0)	(0.7, 0.0)	(0.3, 0.3)	(0.1, 0.7)	(0.1, 0.8)
Headach (He)	(0.3, 0.5)	(0.2, 0.6)	(0.6, 0.1)	(0.2, 0.4)	(0.8, 0.8)
Stomach pain (St)	(0.1, 0.7)	(0.0, 0.9)	(0.2, 0.7)	(0.8, 0.0)	(0.2, 0.8)
Cough (Co)	(0.4, 0.3)	(0.7, 0.0)	(0.2, 0.6)	(0.2, 0.7)	(0.2, 0.8)
Chestpain (Cp)	(0.1, 0.7)	(0.1, 0.8)	(0.1, 0.9)	(0.2, 0.7)	(0.8, 0.1)

Table 1. Symptoms-diseases intuitionistic fuzzy relation.

-	Temperature (Tm)	Headach (He)	Stomach pain (St)	Cough (Co)	Chest pain (Cp)
Arman	(0.8, 0.1)	(0.6, 0.1)	(0.2, 0.8)	(0.6, 0.1)	(0.1, 0.6)
Bijit	(0.0, 0.8)	(0.4, 0.4)	(0.6, 0.1)	(0.1, 0.7)	(0.1, 0.8)
Chandra	(0.8, 0.1)	(0.8, 0.1)	(0.0, 0.6)	(0.2, 0.7)	(0.0, 0.5)
Deva	(0.6, 0.1)	(0.5, 0.4)	(0.3, 0.4)	(0.7, 0.2)	(0.3, 0.4)

Table 2. Patients- symptoms intuitionistic fuzzy relation.

Now, we can represent the fevers as intuitionistic fuzzy sets for symptoms.

$$\begin{split} Vf &= \left\{ \left\langle Tm, (0.4, 0.0), \right\rangle \left\langle He, (0.3, 0.5) \right\rangle, \left\langle St, (0.1, 0.7) \right\rangle, \left\langle Co, (0.4, 0.3) \right\rangle, \left\langle Cp, (0.1, 0.7) \right\rangle \right\} \\ Ml &= \left\{ \left\langle Tm, (0.7, 0.0), \right\rangle \left\langle He, (0.2, 0.6) \right\rangle, \left\langle St, (0.0, 0.9) \right\rangle, \left\langle Co, (0.7, 0.0) \right\rangle, \left\langle Cp, (0.1, 0.8) \right\rangle \right\} \\ Ty &= \left\{ \left\langle Tm, (0.3, 0.3), \right\rangle \left\langle He, (0.6, 0.1) \right\rangle, \left\langle St, (0.2, 0.7) \right\rangle, \left\langle Co, (0.2, 0.6) \right\rangle, \left\langle Cp, (0.1, 0.9) \right\rangle \right\} \\ Sp &= \left\{ \left\langle Tm, (0.1, 0.7), \right\rangle \left\langle He, (0.2, 0.4) \right\rangle, \left\langle St, (0.8, 0.0) \right\rangle, \left\langle Co, (0.2, 0.7) \right\rangle, \left\langle Cp, (0.2, 0.7) \right\rangle \right\} \\ Cpr &= \left\{ \left\langle Tm, (0.1, 0.8), \right\rangle \left\langle He, (0.0, 0.8) \right\rangle, \left\langle St, (0.2, 0.8) \right\rangle, \left\langle Co, (0.2, 0.8) \right\rangle, \left\langle Cp, (0.8, 0.1) \right\rangle \right\} \end{split}$$

Similarly, we can represent the patients as intuitionistic fuzzy sets as follows:

$$Arman = \left\{ \langle Tm, (0.8, 0.1), \rangle \langle He, (0.6, 0.1) \rangle, \langle St, (0.2, 0.8) \rangle, \langle Co, (0.6, 0.1) \rangle, \langle Cp, (0.1, 0.6) \rangle \right\}$$

$$Bijit = \left\{ \langle Tm, (0.0, 0.8), \rangle \langle He, (0.4, 0.4) \rangle, \langle St, (0.6, 0.1) \rangle, \langle Co, (0.1, 0.7) \rangle, \langle Cp, (0.1, 0.8) \rangle \right\}$$

$$Chandra = \left\{ \langle Tm, (0.8, 0.1), \rangle \langle He, (0.8, 0.1) \rangle, \langle St, (0.0, 0.6) \rangle, \langle Co, (0.2, 0.7) \rangle, \langle Cp, (0.0, 0.5) \rangle \right\}$$

$$Deva = \left\{ \langle Tm, (0.6, 0.1), \rangle \langle He, (0.5, 0.4) \rangle, \langle St, (0.3, 0.4) \rangle, \langle Co, (0.7, 0.2) \rangle, \langle Cp, (0.3, 0.4) \rangle \right\}$$

Now, the intuitionistic distance is measured between the disease and the patients in terms of their symptoms. *d* (*Vf*, *Arman*)

$$=\frac{2}{5}\left\{\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.4-0.8|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.0-0.1|}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.4-0.8|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.0-0.1|} +\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.3-0.6|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.5-0.1|}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.3-0.6|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.5-0.1|} +\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.2-0.8|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.8-0.1|}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.8-0.1|} +\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.2-0.8|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.8-0.1|}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.8-0.1|} +\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.1-0.1|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.7-0.6|}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.1-0.1|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.7-0.6|}\right\}$$

$$= \frac{2}{5} \{ 0.206505164 + 0.267048179 + 0.094753846 + 0.172909085 + 0.049733126 \}$$

= 0.31638

d (Vf, Bijit)

$$=\frac{2}{5}\left\{\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.4-0.0|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.0-0.8|}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.4-0.0|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.0-0.8|}+\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.5-0.4|}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.3-0.4|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.5-0.4|}+\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.2-0.6|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.8-0.1|}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.8-0.1|}+\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.2-0.6|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.8-0.1|}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.8-0.1|}+\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.2-0.6|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.8-0.1|}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.8-0.1|}+\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.2-0.6|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.8-0.1|}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.8-0.1|}+\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.1-0.1|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.8-0.8|}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.8-0.1|}+\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.1-0.1|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.8-0.8|}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.8-0.8|}\right\}$$

$$= \frac{2}{5} \{ 0.380670095 + 0.094753846 + 0.362178203 + 0.267048179 + 0.049733126 \}$$

= 0.461753379

d (Vf, Chandra)

$$=\frac{2}{5}\left\{\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.4-0.8|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.0-0.1|}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.4-0.8|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.0-0.1|} +\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.3-0.8|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.5-0.1|}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.3-0.8|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.5-0.1|} +\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.2-0.0|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.8-0.6|}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.2-0.0|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.8-0.6|} +\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.4-0.2|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.3-0.7|}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.3-0.7|} +\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.1-0.0|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.7-0.5|}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.7-0.5|}\right\}$$

$$= \frac{2}{5} \{ 0.135594471 + 0.135594471 + 0.206955274 + 0.172713046 + 0.172909085 \}$$

= 0.329506539

$$=\frac{2}{5}\left\{\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.4-0.6|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.0-0.1|}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.4-0.6|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.0-0.1|}\right.$$

$$+\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.3-0.5|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.5-0.4|}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.3-0.5|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.5-0.4|}+\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.2-0.3|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.8-0.4|}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.8-0.4|}\right.$$

$$+\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.4-0.7|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.3-0.2|}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.3-0.2|}+\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.1-0.3|+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.7-0.4|}{1+\sin\frac{\pi}{6}|0.7-0.4|}\right\}$$

$$= \frac{2}{5} \{ 0.206505164 + 0.318211601 + 0.094753846 + 0.238060496 + 0.135594471 \}$$

= 0.397250232

Similarly, the intuitionistic distance measure between the patients and the diseases can be found as:

d(Malaria, Arman) = 0.295180017, d(Malaria, Bijit) = 0.569517852,d(Malaria, Chandra) = 0.458624202, d(Malaria, Deva) = 0.358685393

d(*Typhoid*, *Arman*) = 0.307891645, *d*(*Typhoid*, *Bijit*) = 0.394251314, *d*(*Typhoid*, *Chandra*) = 0.301192133, *d*(*Typhoid*, *Deva*) = 0.443461385

d(Stomach pain, Arman) = 0.607999785, d(Stomach pain, Bijit) = 0.187788627, d(Stomach pain, Chandra) = 0.523179889, d(Stomach pain, Deva) = 0.516935498

d(Chest problem, Arman) = 0.624377765, d(Chest problem, Bijit) = 0.486891124, d(Chest problem, Chandra) = 0.581709144, d(Chest problem, Deva) = 0.634699555

In short, with the help of the following distance matrix, it can be shown to what extent the symptoms of disease differ by the symptoms of patients.

	Arman	Bijit	Chandra	Deva
Viral fever	0.31637976	0.4617533	79 0.39725	0.32950654
Malaria	0.295180017	0.5695178	52 0.458624	0.35868539
Typhoid	0.307891645	0.3942513	14 0.301192	0.44346139
Stomach pain	0.607999785	0.18778862	0.52318	0.5169355
Chest problem	0.624377765	0.48689112	0.581709	0.63469956

Typhoid Viral fever

As due to the fact, less distance between patient and disease implies more possibility of having the disease, we can predict which disease is suffered by the four people. From the distance matrix, one can observe that, if the doctor agrees Arman suffers from Malaria, Bijit suffers from stomach pain, Chandra suffers from Typhoid and Deva suffers from viral fever.

Now, in the following table, the comparison has been given between the results obtained by different researchers and the results obtained using our proposed distance measures.

From Tables **3** comparison has been made and it is observed that the results obtained by using our proposed distance measure are similar with results obtained by (Ngan *et al.* [20], and Szmidt and Kacprzyk [14].

Proposed Distance Measure [21] [14] [16] [19] [20] Malaria Malaria Viral fever Viral fever Malaria Arman Malaria Bijit Stomach problem Stomach problem Stomach problem Stomach problem Stomach problem Stomach problem

Typhoid

Malaria

Table 3.	Comparison	of existing result	s with the proposed	distance measure.
		0	1 1	

Typhoid

Viral fever

CONCLU	SION
CONCLU	SIUN

Malaria

Malaria

Chandra

Deva

The objective of this paper is to develop a new distance measure for intuitionistic fuzzy sets, which is achieved. The novelty and efficiency has been established by applying the proposed distance measure in medical diagnosis making problem. The result which is evaluated using the new distance measure coincides with the earlier advance approaches. This shows the reliability and validity of the proposed distance measures.

Typhoid

Viral fever

Typhoid

Viral fever

As a future work, it will be beneficial to extend the proposed distance measure in a generalized form and use in the development of fuzzy decision-making problems in medical diagnosis.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors confirm that this article content has no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEGEMENTS

Declared none.

REFERENCES

- [1] L.A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets", *Inf. Control*, vol. 8, pp. 338-353, 1965. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X]
- K.T. Atanassov, "Intuitionistic fuzzy sets", Fuzzy Sets Syst., vol. 20, pp. 87-96, 1986. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(86)80034-3]
- D.F. Li, and C.T. Cheng, "New similarity measures of intuitionistic fuzzy sets and application to pattern recognitions", *Pattern Recognit. Lett.*, vol. 23, pp. 221-225, 2002.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8655(01)00110-6]
- Z.Z. Liang, and P.F. Shi, "Similarity measures on intuitionistic fuzzy sets", *Pattern Recognit. Lett.*, vol. 24, pp. 2687-2693, 2003. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8655(03)00111-9]
- Y. Li, D.L. Olson, and Z. Qin, "Similarity measures between intuitionistic fuzzy (vague) sets: A comparative analysis", *Pattern Recognit. Lett.*, vol. 28, pp. 278-285, 2007.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2006.07.009]
- Z.S. Xu, "Some similarity measures of intuitionistic fuzzy sets and their applications to multiple attribute decision making", *Fuzzy Optim. Decis. Making*, vol. 6, pp. 109-121, 2007.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10700-007-9004-z]
- [7] Z.S. Xu, and R.R. Yager, "Intuitionistic and interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy preference relations and their measures of similarity for the evaluation of agreement within a group", *Fuzzy Optim. Decis. Making*, vol. 8, pp. 123-139, 2008. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10700-009-9056-3]

- [8] H.B. Mitchell, "On the Dengfeng-Chuntian similarity measure and its application to pattern recognition", *Pattern Recognit. Lett.*, vol. 24, pp. 3101-3104, 2003.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8655(03)00169-7]
- W.L. Hung, and M.S. Yang, "Similarity measures of intuitionistic fuzzy sets based on Hausdorff distance", *Pattern Recognit. Lett.*, vol. 25, pp. 1603-1611, 2004.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2004.06.006]
- [10] J. Ye, "Cosine similarity measures for intuitionistic fuzzy sets and their applications", Math. Comput. Model., vol. 53, pp. 91-97, 2011. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mcm.2010.07.022]
- [11] C.M. Hwang, M.S. Yang, W.L. Hung, and M.G. Lee, "A similarity measure of intuitionistic fuzzy sets based on the Sugeno integral with its application to pattern recognition", *Inf. Sci.*, vol. 189, pp. 93-109, 2012. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2011.11.029]
- [12] F.E. Boran, and D. Akay, "A biparametric similarity measure on intuitionistic fuzzy sets with applications to pattern recognition", *Inf. Sci.*, vol. 255, pp. 45-57, 2014. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2013.08.013]
- J. Li, G. Deng, H. Li, and W. Zeng, "The relationship between similarity measure and entropy of intuitionistic fuzzy sets", *Inf. Sci.*, vol. 188, pp. 314-321, 2012.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2011.11.021]
- [14] E. Szmidt, and J. Kacprzyk, "Distances between intuitionistic fuzzy sets", Fuzzy Sets Syst., vol. 114, pp. 505-518, 2000. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(98)00244-9]
- [15] P. Grzegorzewski, "Distances between intuitionistic fuzzy sets and/or interval-valued fuzzy sets based on the Hausdorff_metric", Fuzzy Sets and Syst, vol. 148, pp. 319-328, 2004. doi:10.1016/j.fss.2003.08.005 48-59. doi: 10.1016/j.asoc.2017.03.046
- [16] E. Szmidt, and J. Kacprzyk, "A similarity measure for intuitionistic fuzzy sets and its application in sup-porting medical diagnostic reasoning", *Arti_cial Intelligence and Soft Computing - ICAISC 2004, Lect. Notes Comput. Sci.*, vol. 3070, pp. 388-393, 2004. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-24844-6 56]
- J.H. Park, K.M. Lim, and Y.C. Kwun, "Distance measure between intuitionistic fuzzy sets and its application to pattern recognition", *Korean Inst. Intell. Syst.*, vol. 19, pp. 556-561, 2009.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.5391/JKIIS.2009.19.4.556]
- [18] W. Wang, and X. Xin, "Distance measure between intuitionistic fuzzy sets", Pattern Recognit. Lett., vol. 26, pp. 2063-2069, 2005. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2005.03.018]
- [19] S. Maheshwari, and A. Srivastava, "Study on divergence measures for intuitionistic fuzzy sets and its application in medical diagnosis", J. Appl. Anal. Comput., vol. 6, pp. 772-789, 2016. [http://dx.doi.org/10.11948/2016050]
- [20] R.T. Ngana, L.H. Sonb, B.C. Cuonge, and M. Alid, "H-max distance measure of intuitionistic fuzzy sets in decision making", *applied soft computing*, 2018. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2018.04.036]
- S.K. De, R. Biswas, and A.R. Roy, "An application of intuitionistic fuzzy sets in medical diagnosis", *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, vol. 117, pp. 209-213, 2013.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0114(98)00235-8]

© 2018 Dutta and Goala.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode). This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.