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#### Abstract

Let s and t be two points in the plane, how to compute the Euclidean shortest path between s and t which visits a sequence of segments given in the plane, is the problem to be discussed in this paper, especially, the situation of the adjacent segments intersect is the focus of our study. In this paper, we first analyze the degeneration applying rubber-band algorithm to solve the problem and introduce the algorithm for computing Euclidean shortest path with removing sufficiently small segments. Then based on rubber-band algorithm, we present a new algorithm for solving the degeneration and computing the ESP by crossing over two segments to deal with intersection and in our algorithm the adjacent segments order can be changed when they intersect. Furthermore, we have implemented the two algorithms and have applied a large test data to test them. The experiments demonstrate that our algorithm is more efficient and effective, and it has the same time complexity as the rubber-band algorithm.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Euclidean shortest path (ESP) problem is one of the typical problems in Computational Geometry. Its main aim is to find the shortest path between two points for a given series of obstacles in Euclidean space [1]. In this paper, we mainly study the algorithms for computing the Euclidean shortest path between two points $s$ and $t$ of visiting a sequence of segments given in the plane. Especially, the situation of the adjacent segments intersection is the focus of our study, but when three or more line segments intersect at one point then it is not the scope of this paper. The problem can be described as follows.

Let $\Pi$ be a plane. Assume that there are $\mathrm{n}>1$ segments $s_{i} \subset \Pi$ such that $s_{i} \cap s_{j} \cap s_{k}=\varnothing$, for $i \neq j \neq k$ and $i, j, k=1,2, \ldots, n$, see Fig. (1), then how to compute the shortest path from $s$ to $t$ that visits all the given segments $s_{i}$ (at least once) is our study, here, the visiting order needn't to be in the given order. In Fig. (1), the path linked by dotted lines is the ESP from $s$ to $t$ which visits 4 given segments, and the visiting order is $s_{1}, s_{3}, s_{2}, s_{4}$.

The ESP problem of visiting a sequence of segments has been intensively studied. When the given segments don't intersect, such as Funnel algorithm [2] proposed by D. T. Lee and F. P. Preparata in 1984, and rubber-band algorithm [3, 4] (denoted by R algorithm) proposed by Fajie Li and Reinhard Kettle in 2007. R algorithm has the $K(\varepsilon) \cdot O(n)$ time, where $K(\varepsilon)=\left(L_{0}-L\right) / \varepsilon, L$ is the true length of the ESP of segment set $S, L_{0}$ that of an initial path, and $n$ is the


Fig. (1). ESP of visiting segments in the plane, for $n=4$.
number of segments of the set $S$. In 2011, Wang and Huo obtained that the time complexity of R algorithm is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ when $n$ is larger (i.e., $n \geq 500$ ) by the experiment. Furthermore, they introduced divide and conquer into R algorithm and reduced the time complexity to $O(n)$ [5]. When the given segment may intersect, many researchers have been studying more effective methods. LI Fa-jie and KLETTE Reinhard in 2008 got an approximation solution by removing sufficiently small segments within an application of R algorithm [4]. So far, no other effective method has been reported yet. In this paper, based on R algorithm, we present a new algorithm for computing the ESP of given segments by the method of crossing over two segments to deal with the intersection and in our algorithm the adjacent segments order can be changed in order to get the shorter path when they intersect. The experiments show that our algorithm is efficient and accurate for solving the problem given above.

## 2. THE DEGENERATION APPLYING ALGORITHM TO COMPUTE THE ESP

The basic idea of R algorithm is that it computes the shortest path by local optimization and continuous iteration.

Except start point and end point, all the path points from the first point will be updated one by one by each iteration. The algorithm will not stop until the total length of the path between two iterations only differs by $\varepsilon$ (the chosen accuracy) at most $[3,4]$. In R algorithm, the iteration is computed according to the given order of the segments.

When any two segments of the segment set $S$ don't intersect, we can apply R algorithm to compute the ESP between two points $s$ and $t$ of visiting a sequence of segments in the given order easily [3-5], but when they intersect, it may be hard and even incorrect to get the shortest path. Taking the Fig. (2) for example, if the calculated path points $q_{i}$ on $s_{i}$ and $q_{i+l}$ on $s_{i+l}$ are at the intersection of $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+l}$ in current iteration, it is impossible to compute new $q_{i}^{\prime}$ and $q_{i+1}^{\prime}$ $\left(q_{i} \neq q_{i}{ }^{\prime}, \quad q_{i+1} \neq q_{i+1}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ that makes $q_{i-1} q_{i}^{\prime} q_{i+1}^{\prime} q_{i+2}$ shorter than $q_{i-1} q_{i} q_{i+1} q_{i+2}$ in the later iteration processes. Because $q_{i}$ and $q_{i+l}$ are both at the crossing of $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+l}$, the path points $q_{i}$ and $q_{i+1}$ do not change in the later iteration process, obviously $q_{i-1} q_{i} q_{i+1} q_{i+2}$ isn't the optimal, except $q_{i-1}, q_{i,} q_{i+1}, q_{i+2}$ are on the same line. We call the situation in this example as a degeneration path of the algorithm [3-5]. When the degeneration appears, applying R algorithm will lead to failure.


Fig. (2). The degeneration with an application of R algorithm.
Removing sufficiently small segments from segment $s_{i}$ or $s_{i+l}$ at the intersection can avoid the degeneration [4]. Based on this idea, in reference [6], the improved R algorithm, denoted by A 1 , is presented.

The degeneration can be avoided by applying A1 when the adjacent segments intersect, but the shortest path obtained is only an approximate because it is possible that the path through the intersection of the segments is the shortest. In this paper, based on R algorithm, we propose the method of crossing over two segments to compute path points by analyzing the position relationship between path points and segments, and we can change the order of the adjacent segments in order to get the shorter path when they intersect. The method not only can solve the degeneration effectively but also it's more efficient and accurate than A1. The experiments show that our algorithm is correct and effective.

## 3. THE METHOD OF DEALING WITH THE INTERSECTED SEGMENTS

When the segments do not intersect, references [3-5] has presented the solving method.

When the segments intersect, assume that the segments $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+1}$ intersect at the point $C, p_{i-1}$ and $p_{i+2}$ are the path points on $s_{i-1}$ and $s_{i+2}$ respectively. Then, there are some cases among the points $p_{i-1}$ and $p_{i+2}$ and the segments $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+1}$, which are as follows.

Case $1 p_{i-1}$ and $p_{i+2}$ lie on the different side of $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+1}$
In this case, the approach in the iteration process is as follows. If $\overline{p_{i-1} p_{i+2}}$ passes through both $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+1}$, obviously, $\overline{p_{i-1} p_{i+2}}$ is the local shortest path, denoted by $\rho$, $\rho=\overline{p_{i-1} p_{i+2}}$, and the visiting order is the order of $\rho$ passing through $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+1}$, see Fig. (3). Otherwise, for Fig. (3a), there are many cases which can be seen in Fig. (4). According to R algorithm, we can choose one of the endpoints of $s_{i}$ as $p_{i}$ or one of the endpoints of $s_{i+l}$ as $p_{i+l}$ such that the local path is the shortest, and the visiting order is the same as above. Similarly, for Fig. (3b), it can be done as Fig. (3a). Since there are other possible position relationships between $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+l}$, (i.e., they are vertical), which also can be done in a similar way. In addition, in the flowing case 2 and case 3 , the method of dealing with the endpoints is the same as that of Fig. (3a).


Fig. (3). $\overline{p_{i-1} p_{i+2}}$ passes through both $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+1}$.
Case $2 p_{i-1}$ and $p_{i+2}$ lie on the different side of $s_{i}\left(s_{i+1}\right)$ and on the same side of $s_{i+1}\left(s_{i}\right)$

In this case, it needs to compute the reflection point $r$ on the line segment $s_{i}$ or $s_{i+1}$ to find the shortest path [7, 8], see Fig. (5).

The approach in the iterative process is as follows. If $\overline{p_{i-1} p_{i+2}}$ only intersects with one of segments $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+1}$, the reflection point $r$ lies on the segment which does not intersect with $\overline{p_{i-1} p_{i+2}}, \rho=\overline{p_{i-1} r}+\overline{r p_{i+2}}$, and the visiting order is the order of $\rho$ passing through $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+1}$.


Fig. (4). $\overline{p_{i-1} p_{i+2}}$ does not intersect with $s_{i}$ or $s_{i+1}$.

(a) The reflection point lies on $s_{i}$

(b) The reflection point lies on $s_{i+1}$

Fig. (5). $p_{i-1}$ and $p_{i+2}$ lie on the different side of $s_{i}\left(s_{i+1}\right)$ and on the same side of $s_{i+1}\left(s_{i}\right)$.

Case $3 p_{i-1}$ and $p_{i+2}$ lie on the same side of $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+1}$
In this case, there are two cases which are shown in Figs. (6) and (7).
(1) the case of computing one reflection

The two segments can be visited by computing a reflection point (see Fig. 6). In this case, obviously, $\rho=\overline{p_{i-1} r}+\overline{r p_{i+2}}$. It is worth noting that when $\rho$ passes through $s_{i}$ or $s_{i+l}$ twice, we can select the nearest intersection to $C$ as the $p_{i}$ or $p_{i+l}$ in order to deal with endpoints easily.

(a) The reflection point lies on $s_{i}$

(b) The reflection point lies on $s_{i+1}$

Fig. (6). $p_{i-1}$ and $p_{i+2}$ lie on the same side of $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+1}$ (One reflection point).
(2) the case of computing two reflections

It needs to compute two reflections when the two segments can't be visited by computing a reflection point. The approach is as follows. We make the symmetric point of $p_{i-1}$ on $s_{i}$ and the symmetric point of $p_{i+2}$ on $s_{i+1}$, denoted by $p_{i-1}^{\prime}$ and $p_{i+2}^{\prime}$ respectively.

In this case, there are three situations when computing the local shortest path (see Fig. 7).
(1) if $\overline{p_{i-1}^{\prime} p_{i+2}^{\prime}}$ intersects with $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+1}$ at the point $p_{i}$ and $p_{i+l}$ respectively, and $p_{i}$ and $p_{i+l}$ are on the same side of $p_{i-1}$ and $p_{i+2}$, they are the two reflections and also the path points. If $\overline{p_{i-1} p_{i}}$ does not intersect with $\overline{p_{i+1} p_{i+2}}$, the shortest path is $\rho=\overline{p_{i-1} p_{i}}+\overline{p_{i} p_{i+1}}+\overline{p_{i+1} p_{i+2}}$, and the visiting order is the order of $\rho$ passing through $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+l}$ (see Fig. (7a). Otherwise, we need to change the visiting order of $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+1}$ in order to get the shortest path, then $\rho=\overline{p_{i-1} p_{i+1}}+\overline{p_{i+1} p_{i}}+\overline{p_{i} p_{i+2}}$ (see Fig. (7b).
(2) if $\overline{p_{i-1}^{\prime} p_{i+2}^{\prime}}$ passes through the intersection $C$, in this case, the reflection points coincide with $C$ and they are also the path points $\left(p_{i}=p_{i+1}=C\right), \rho=\overline{p_{i-1} C}+\overline{C p_{i+2}}$, and the visiting order is the order of $\rho$ passing through $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+1}$. It easily can be proved by making two auxiliary points $p_{i}^{\prime}$ and $p_{i+1}^{\prime}$ on $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+1}$ (see Fig. (7c).
(3) if $\overline{p^{\prime}{ }_{i-1} p^{\prime}{ }_{i+2}}$ doesn't pass through the intersection $C$ and $\overline{p_{i-1}^{\prime} p_{i+2}^{\prime}}$ is different from the side of $\overline{p_{i-1} C}$ and $\overline{C p_{i+2}}$, $\rho=\overline{p_{i-1} C}+\overline{C p_{i+2}}$, the path points $p_{i}$ and $p_{i+1}$ coincide with $C$, and the visiting order is the order of $\rho$ passing through $s_{i}$
and $s_{i+l}$ (see Fig. 7d). This can be proved as follows. In Fig. (7d), we take two points $p_{i}^{\prime}$ and $p_{i+1}^{\prime}$ on $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+1}$, since $\overline{p_{i-1}^{\prime} p_{i}^{\prime}}+\overline{p_{i}^{\prime} p_{i+1}^{\prime}}+\overline{p_{i+1}^{\prime} p_{i+2}^{\prime}}=\overline{p_{i-1} p_{i}^{\prime}}+\overline{p_{i}^{\prime} p_{i+1}^{\prime}}+$ $\overline{p_{i+1}^{\prime} p_{i+2}}, \overline{p_{i-1}^{\prime} C}+\overline{C p_{i+2}^{\prime}}=\overline{p_{i-1} C}+\overline{C p_{i+2}}$, obviously, $\overline{p_{i-1}^{\prime} C}$
$+\overline{C p_{i+2}^{\prime}}<\overline{p_{i-1}^{\prime} p_{i}^{\prime}}+\overline{p_{i}^{\prime} p_{i+1}^{\prime}}+\overline{p_{i+1}^{\prime} p_{i+2}^{\prime}}$, then $\overline{p_{i-1} C}+\overline{C p_{i+2}}$
$<\overline{p_{i-1} p_{i}^{\prime}}+\overline{p_{i}^{\prime} p_{i+1}^{\prime}}+\overline{p_{i+1}^{\prime} p_{i+2}}, \rho=\overline{p_{i-1} C}+\overline{C p_{i+2}}$ follows.

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

Fig. (7). $p_{i-1}$ and $p_{i+2}$ lie on the same side of $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+1}$ (Two reflection points).

## 4. NEW ALGORITHM

In this paper, we adopt the method of crossing over two segments when two segments intersect. The basic idea is as follows. Assume that $s_{i}$ interests with $s_{i+l}$, we can compute the path point $p_{i}$ on $s_{i}$ and the path point $p_{i+l}$ on $s_{i+l}$ according to the position relationship between the path points $p_{i-1}$ and $p_{i+2}$ and the segments $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+1}$. Next, we judge the position relationship of $s_{i+1}$ and $s_{i+2}$, if $s_{i+1} \cap s_{i+2}=\varnothing$, we compute the path point $p_{i+2}$ on $s_{i+2}$ and $p_{i+3}$ on $s_{i+3}$. Otherwise, we compute the path point $p_{i+1}$ on $s_{i+1}$ and $p_{i+2}$ on $s_{i+2}$.

The new algorithm is denoted by A2, which consists of Main procedure, Update procedure, ESPByOne procedure and ESPByTwo procedure. Main procedure is developed based on R algorithm which is used to calculate the iteration process, Update procedure is used to compute the path points in each iteration, ESPByOne is the procedure of crossing over one segment to compute the path points, the detail has been presented in reference [7], ESPByTwo is the procedure of crossing two segments to compute the path points, which is the core of this paper. ESPByTwo procedure can be described as follows.
$\operatorname{ESPByTwo}\left(p_{i-1}, p_{i+2}, s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right)$ procedure.
Here, $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+1}$ are two segments, $p_{i-1}$ and $p_{i+2}$ are two path points on $s_{i-1}$ and $s_{i+2}$. The procedure is used to compute the new path points $p_{i}$ and $p_{i+1}$ on $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+1}$.

Let $s=\overline{p_{i-1} p_{i+2}}, L$ denote the path computed by ESPByOne procedure, and $c$ is the intersection of $s_{i}$ with $s_{i+1}$.

Case $1 p_{i-1}$ and $p_{i+2}$ lie on the different side of $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+1}$
if $\left(s_{i} \cap s \neq \varnothing \& \& s_{i+1} \cap s \neq \varnothing\right)$, assume that $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are the intersections of $s_{i}$ with $s$, and $s_{i+1}$ with $s$ respectively, then let $p_{i}=r_{1}$ and $p_{i+1}=r_{2}$, and if $\left(\left|\overline{p_{i-1} r_{1}}\right|>\left|\overline{p_{i-1} r_{2}}\right|\right)$ then call swap $\left(s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right)$ and call $\operatorname{swap}\left(p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right)$.

Case $2 p_{i-1}$ and $p_{i+2}$ lie on the different side of $s_{i}\left(s_{i+1}\right)$ and on the same side of $s_{i+1}\left(s_{i}\right)$

$$
\text { if }\left(s_{i} \cap s \neq \varnothing \| s_{i+1} \cap s \neq \varnothing\right)
$$

if $\left(s_{i} \cap s \neq \varnothing\right)$ then call ESPByOne $\left(p_{i-1}, p_{i+2}, s_{i+1}\right)$, assume that $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are the intersections of $L$ with $s_{i}$, and $L$ with $s_{i+1}$ respectively.

Let $p_{i}=r_{1}, p_{i+1}=r_{2}$ and $s T e m p=\overline{r_{2} p_{i+2}}$,
if $\left(s_{i} \cap s T e m p \neq \varnothing\right)$ then call swap $\left(s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right)$, and call $\operatorname{swap}\left(p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right)$.
else ESPByOne $\left(p_{i-1}, p_{i+2}, s_{i}\right)$, assume that $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are the intersections of $L$ with $s_{i}$, and $L$ with $s_{i+1}$ respectively.

Let $p_{i}=r_{1}, p_{i+1}=r_{2}$ and $s$ Temp $=\overline{p_{i-1} r_{1}}$.

Table 1. The Results of 10 Segments Sets by A1 and A2.

| Segment Sets | A1 Algorithm |  | A2 Algorithm |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Iteration Times | ESP | Iteration Times | ESP |
| 100 | 161 | 36754 | 69 | 35647 |
| 200 | 376 | 83234 | 293 | 62814 |
| 300 | 386 | 126239 | 297 | 94627 |
| 400 | 420 | 167955 | 401 | 123784 |
| 500 | 454 | 203113 | 426 | 156598 |
| 600 | 472 | 247585 | 434 | 167352 |
| 700 | 486 | 284348 | 447 | 189651 |
| 800 | 497 | 321843 | 458 | 204536 |
| 900 | 512 | 358296 | 487 | 246725 |
| 1000 | 520 | 358401 | 490 | 276593 |

if $\left(s_{i+1} \cap s \operatorname{Temp} \neq \varnothing\right.$ ) then call swap ( $\left.s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right)$, and call $\operatorname{swap}\left(p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right)$.

Case $3 p_{i-1}$ and $p_{i+2}$ lie on the same side of $s_{i}$ and $s_{i+1}$
$\operatorname{ESPByOne}\left(p_{i-1}, p_{i+2}, s_{i}\right)$, let $r_{1}$ denotes the intersection of $L$ with $s_{i}$ and $s T e m p=\overline{p_{i-1} r_{1}}$.
if $\left(s_{i+1} \cap s \operatorname{Temp} \neq \varnothing\right)$, and $r_{2}$ is the intersection, then let $p_{i}=r_{1}, p_{i+1}=r_{2}$, call swap $\left(s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right)$ and call swap ( $p_{i}, p_{i+1}$ ).
else ESPByOne $\left(p_{i-1}, p_{i+2}, s_{i+1}\right)$, let $r_{2}$ denotes the intersection of $L$ with $s_{i+1}$, and $s T e m p=\overline{p_{i+2} r_{2}}$.
if $\left(s_{i} \cap s T e m p \neq \varnothing\right)$, and $r_{1}$ is the intersection, then let $p_{i}=r_{1}, \quad p_{i+1}=r_{2}$, call swap $\left(s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right)$ and call swap $\left(p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right)$.
else we make the symmetric point of $p_{i-1}$ on $s_{i}$ and that of $p_{i+2}$ on $s_{i+1}$, denoted by $p_{i-1}^{\prime}$ and $p_{i+2}^{\prime}$ respectively, let $s$ Temp $=\overline{p_{i-1}^{\prime} p_{i+2}^{\prime}}, \quad r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ denote the intersection $s_{i}$ with $s$ Temp, and $s_{i+1}$ with $s$ Temp.
if ( $p_{i-1}$ and $c$ lie on the same side of sTemp ) then let $p_{i}=p_{i+1}=c$.
else let $p_{i}=r_{1}$, and $p_{i+1}=r_{2}$.
if $\left(\overline{p_{i-1} r_{1}} \cap \overline{p_{i+1} p_{i+2}} \neq \varnothing\right)$ then call swap $\left(s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right)$ and call $\operatorname{swap}\left(p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right)$.

## 5. THE ANALYSIS OF RUNNING RESULT

We have implemented A1 and A2 with C++ program and have applied 10 randomly generated segment sets to test the algorithms, and the size of segments is $100,200, \ldots, 1000$
respectively. The experiment's results show that our algorithm is correct and efficient, which can be shown in Table 1. From the Table 1, we can see that the ESP of A2 is shorter than that of A1 and the iteration times of A2 is less than that of A1.


Fig. (8). The running result of 10 segments of A1 and A2.
To make the result clearly visible, we only present the result of 10 segments (see Fig. 8). The solid lines are randomly generated segments, the path linked by the thin-dotted-lines from $S$ to $T$ is the ESP obtained by the A1 and the path linked by the thick-dotted-lines from $S$ to $T$ is the ESP obtained by the A2. It's obvious that the ESP obtained by A2 is shorter than ESP obtained by A1. In this example, $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}, s_{5}, s_{6}, s_{7}, s_{8}, s_{9}, s_{10}$ is the initial given order of segments. After running with our algorithm, the output is $s_{4}, s_{1}, s_{3}, s_{2}, s_{6}, s_{5}, s_{7}, s_{9}, s_{8}, s_{10}$. We can see the visiting order has been changed and we can get the shorter path and the faster running time. Furthermore, this example contained three position relationships between path points and segments dis-
cussed above, for example, $\left(p_{1}, s_{3}, s_{2}, p_{6}\right),\left(p_{2}, s_{6}, s_{5}, p_{7}\right)$ and ( $p_{9}, s_{8}, s_{10}, T$ ) are the case1, case2 and case3 respectively.

## 6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, based on R algorithm, we present a new algorithm for computing the Euclidean shortest path of visiting a sequence of segments given in the plane. When the adjacent segments intersect, applying the method of crossing over two segments to deal with the intersection can effectively solve the degeneration caused by R algorithm. Furthermore, our algorithm can efficiently and accurately compute the ESP of given segments. Since dealing with degeneration has not increased the time complexity of R algorithm, it has the same time complexity as R algorithm.

This research has made preliminary results, the situation of three or three more line segments intersect at one point is an open problem [9].
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