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Abstract:

Background:
Decision making in medical diagnosis is becoming a vast research field in medical science. In the last few decades, the role of distance measure in decision science is significant. Various distance measures on IFSs have been developed by many researchers and used in medical diagnosis in last few decades.

Objective:
Medical diagnosis is one of the major decision-making situation in medical science. From the existing research and knowledge in medical science, the major, as well as minor symptoms of almost all disease, are recorded. In the initial stage of any disease, the symptoms of the patients are observed carefully and comparing the symptoms one can suggest the disease may be suffered by the patient. Therefore, an attempt has been made to practice a fuzzy decision-making approach using intuitionistic fuzzy sets. In addition, a novel distance measure on IFSs has been introduced.

Uncertainty in Medical Diagnosis:
Uncertainty is a factor which makes the decision-making process more difficult in medical diagnosis. Everyone is different from the other at physical level as well as mental level, symptoms of a disease expressed by patients are linguistic in nature and vary person to person. For example, the pain suffered in stomach by a patient usually expressed in linguistic expressions such as much, too much, severe etc. From the described symptoms by patients, a physician decides possible disease suffered by the patients. For this reason, in medical diagnosis, decision-making under uncertainty arises.

Result:
In this paper, a new distance measure between two Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets (IFSs) has been proposed. The proposed distance measure has been used in a decision-making problem of a medical diagnosis problem. In addition, the result has been analyzed by comparing with the existing result of medical diagnosis.

Conclusion:
The result obtained by the case study carried out with the help of the proposed distance measure is analyzed by comparing results with the existing result of medical diagnosis. This is found to be very much similar to the existing result of medical diagnosis. This concludes the efficiency and reliability of our proposed distance measure.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Initially, Zadeh in 1965, introduced the concept of Fuzzy Set Theory (FST) [1]. Later, in 1986, Atanassov
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developed the system of IFS [2] as an extension of classic fuzzy set theory. In fuzzy set theory, an element in the universal set is assigned to a membership degree. But, in IFSs theory, an element in the universal set is assigned to each element a membership degree, a non-membership degree and a hesitation degree. This is one of the main reason why IFS has been treated as a more effective and efficient concept than the FST to deal with the uncertainty in decision making. In recent years, significant effort has been made by researchers to show efficiency of IFS in the uncertainty modelling problems and applicability in a wide range of areas, such as decision making, fuzzy optimization, pattern recognition, medical diagnosis etc.

The similarity measure is important tools that can be used in decision-making problem to deal with uncertainty through IFS theory. Various distance measures have been proposed by different researchers. It has been observed that different distance measure produces different values while measuring the distance degree between two IFSs. Also, sometimes existing distance measures are not able to give an appropriate and convenient result for a pair of IFSs. For this reason, it is always necessary to derive advanced measures for better decision making.

Several similarity measures have been introduced on IFS by many researchers. Li et al. in 2002 [3], Liang et al. in 2003 [4], Li et al. 2007 [5], Xu in 2007 [6] and in 2008 [7] developed some similarity measures on IFSs. Li et al. introduced a new axiomatic definition of a similarity measure for IFSs. Later, Mitchell in 2003 developed a statistical approach as an extension of Li’s similarity measure and introduced some examples [8]. Hung and Yang in 2004 generalized the Hausdorff distance and proposed three new similarity measures on IFSs [9]. Using the cosine function, Ye in 2011 proposed a new similarity measure and a weighted similarity measure [10]. Hwang et al. in 2012, presented a new similarity measure by utilizing Sugeno integral and used in clustering analysis [11]. Xu in 2007 developed some similarity measures and used it in multi-criteria decision-making problems [6]. A general type of similarity measure [12] was developed by Boran and Akay in 2014 that connects two parameters norm and the level of uncertainty. An axiomatic approach of the similarity measure of IFS [13] was developed by Li et al. in 2012.

Distance measures and similarity measures have some significance and importance in decision-making situations. Many researchers are also studied and developed several distance measures and used in various field of decision-making situations. Szmidt and Kacprzyk in 2000 developed the Hamming distance measure and Euclidean distance measure extending the original Hamming and Euclidean distance measure [14]. Later, Grzegorzewski in 2004 developed the Hausdorff distance measure between two IFSs [15]. Then, Szmidt and Kacprzyk, in 2004 proposed a distance measure for IFSs [16]. Wang and Xin in 2005 developed a new distance measure [17] using the Hausdorff distance measure developed by Grzegorzewski. In a similar way, Park et al. in 2009 also developed a new distance measure [18] as an extension of distance measure developed by Wang and Xin. Then, Maheshwari and Srivastava in 2016 proposed a new distance measure [19] using the logarithmic function. Ngan et al., in 2018 proposed the HMax-distance measure between two IFSs [20].

1.1. Motivation for this Study

There are several studies carried out to make a decision support system for medical diagnosis using fuzzy similarity and fuzzy distance measure. Though in literature, there are several numbers of distance measures, it is always necessary to have a sufficient number of distance measures to check and validate the results by applying different distance measures to obtain more reliable and convenient result. In addition, the results obtained by some earlier studies [21, 20, 16] are not relevant and contradicts with each other. Also, a recent study [20] shows more appropriate and relevant result which are different from the aforementioned studies. These are the main motivation for us to study in this particular topic.

In this paper, a new distance measure on Intuitionistic fuzzy set has been introduced and used in an existing data set of medical diagnosis studies to show the usability of the proposed distance measure. In the introduction section, the general view of uncertainty in medical diagnosis and the literature review on existing studies of medical diagnosis using IFS, similarity and distance measure has been given. In the preliminary section, the basic concepts and definitions of Intuitionistic fuzzy set theory are explained. The explanations and definitions of existing and well-known distance measures are given in section 3. In the next section, i.e. section 4, a new distance measure on Intuitionistic fuzzy sets has been proposed and proved that the proposed distance measure satisfies all necessary conditions. In section 5, a methodology has been discussed to determine the possible disease suffered by any patient using intuitionistic fuzzy set and the proposed distance measure. In section 6, a case study is carried out on existing data sets taken by some earlier researchers. In section 7, a discussion on the obtained result has been elaborated and compared with existing and recent studies and found that the proposed distance measure produces more relevant results.
2. PRELIMINARY

In this section, the basic concepts of fuzzy set, intuitionistic fuzzy sets, and intuitionistic fuzzy distance measures have been discussed.

2.1. Definition: Fuzzy Set [1]

A fuzzy set is one which assigns grades of membership between 0 and 1 to an object within its universe of discourse. If \( X \) is a universal set then a fuzzy set \( A \) is defined by its membership function.

\[ \mu_A : X \rightarrow [0,1] \]

2.2. Definition: Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set [2]

An intuitionistic fuzzy set \( A \) on a universe of discourse \( X \) is defined as

\[ \mu_A(x) \in [0,1], \quad \nu_A(x) \in [0,1], \quad 0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1. \]

Here, \( \pi_A(x) = 1 - \mu_A(x) - \nu_A(x) \) is called the hesitancy of \( x \). \( \pi_A(x) \) can be considered as the degree of lack of commitment or uncertainty associated with the membership or non-membership in \( A \).

In IFS, each element is assigned to a membership degree and a non-membership degree, whereas FST only assigns each element a membership degree. For this reason, IFS has been used more than the FST to deal with the uncertainty efficiently.

Definition: Let \( A \in IFS(X) \) and \( B \in IFS(X) \) then:

a) \( A \subseteq B \) iff \( \forall x \in X, \mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x), \nu_A(x) \geq \nu_B(x) \)

b) \( A = B \) iff \( \forall x \in X, \mu_A(x) = \mu_B(x), \nu_A(x) = \nu_B(x) \)

c) \( A^c = \{(x,\mu_A(x),\nu_A(x)) : x \in X\} \), where \( A^c \) is the complement of \( A \).

d) \( \bigcap A_i = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) : x \in X\} \)

e) \( \bigcup A_i = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) : x \in X\} \)

Definition: Let \( d \) denote a mapping \( d : IFS \times IFS \rightarrow [0,1] \), if \( d(A, B) \) satisfies the following properties, \( d(A, B) \) is called the distance between \( A \in IFSs(X) \) and \( B \in IFSs(X) \).

a) \( 0 \leq d \leq 1 \)

b) \( d(A, B) \) if and only if \( A = B \)

c) \( d(A, B) = d(B, A) \)

d) \( d(A, C) \leq d(A, B) + d(B, C) \)

3. SOME WELL-KNOWN DISTANCE MEASURES

Let \( A = \{(x_i,\mu_A(x_i),\nu_A(x_i)) : x_i \in X\} \) and \( B = \{(x_i,\mu_B(x_i),\nu_B(x_i)) : x_i \in X\} \) be two IFSs, then some well-known distance measures are given below:

a. The normalized Hamming distance [14]:

\[ d_{Hamming}(A, B) = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x_i \in X} |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| + |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)| \]
The distance measure of two IFSs is given by \[d(A, B) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| + |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)| \right)\]

The normalized Euclidean distance \[d(A, B) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)|^2 + |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)|^2 \right)\]

The distance measure of two IFSs is proposed by \[d(A, B) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| + |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)| + |\pi_A(x_i) - \pi_B(x_i)| \right)\]

de. The Hausdorff distance measure of two IFSs is proposed by \[d(A, B) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| + |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)| \right) + 2 \max \left\{ |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)|, |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)| \right\}\]

f. The distance measure of two IFSs is proposed by \[d(A, B) = \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| + |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)| + |\pi_A(x_i) - \pi_B(x_i)| \right) + \max \left\{ |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)|, |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)|, |\pi_A(x_i) - \pi_B(x_i)| \right\}\]

g. The divergence measure of two IFSs is proposed by \[d(A, B) = -\log_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sqrt{|\mu_A(x_i)\mu_B(x_i)|} + \sqrt{|\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)|} + \sqrt{|\pi_A(x_i) - \pi_B(x_i)|} \right) \right)\]

h. The H-max distance measures of intuitionistic fuzzy sets is given by \[d(A, B) = \frac{1}{3n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| + |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)| + \max \left\{ |\mu_A(x_i), \nu_B(x_i)| \right\} - \max \left\{ \mu_A(x_i), \nu_B(x_i) \right\} \right)\]

i. WX-Hmax distance measures of intuitionistic fuzzy sets is given by \[d(A, B) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| + |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)| + \max \left\{ |\mu_A(x_i), \nu_B(x_i)| \right\} - \max \left\{ \nu_A(x_i), \mu_B(x_i) \right\} \right)\]
4. A NOVEL DISTANCE MEASURE ON INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY SETS

Let \( X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \) be the universe of discourse. Let \( A = \{(x_i, \mu_A(x_i), v_A(x_i)) : x_i \in X\} \) and \( B = \{(x_i, \mu_B(x_i), v_B(x_i)) : x_i \in X\} \) be two IFSs. Then the new distance measure can be defined as:

\[
d(A, B) = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| \right)}{1 + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| \right)} + \frac{\sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |v_A(x_i) - v_B(x_i)| \right)}{1 + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |v_A(x_i) - v_B(x_i)| \right)} \right)
\]

All the necessary four conditions to be a distance measure are satisfied by the new distance measure and the proof is given below:

(a) \( 0 \leq d(A, B) \leq 1 \)

Proof (a): As we know, \( A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), v_A(x)) : x \in X\} \) for degree of membership \( 0 \leq \mu_A(x) \leq 1 \) and degree of non-membership \( 0 \leq v_A(x) \leq 1 \).

This implies for \( A = \{(x_i, \mu_A(x_i), v_A(x_i)) : x_i \in X\} \) and \( B = \{(x_i, \mu_B(x_i), v_B(x_i)) : x_i \in X\} \)

\[0 \leq |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq |v_A(x_i) - v_B(x_i)| \leq 1\]

\[\Rightarrow 0 \leq \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |v_A(x_i) - v_B(x_i)| \right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\]

\[\Rightarrow 0 \leq \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| \right) + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |v_A(x_i) - v_B(x_i)| \right) \leq 1 \quad (1)\]

\[\Rightarrow 0 \leq 1 + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| \right) + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |v_A(x_i) - v_B(x_i)| \right) \leq 2 \quad (2)\]

Therefore, from Eq. (1) and Eq. (2)

\[\Rightarrow 0 \leq \frac{\sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| \right)}{1 + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| \right)} + \frac{\sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |v_A(x_i) - v_B(x_i)| \right)}{1 + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |v_A(x_i) - v_B(x_i)| \right)} \leq 1\]

\[\Rightarrow 0 \leq d(A, B) \leq 1\]

(b) \( d(A, B) = 0 \) if and only if \( A = B \)

Proof: \( d(A, B = 0) \)
For this reason

\[ \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| \right) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)| \right) = 0 \]

\[ \iff |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)| = 0 \]

\[ \iff \mu_A(x_i) = \mu_B(x_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_A(x_i) = \nu_B(x_i) \quad \text{for all} \quad x_i \in X \]

\[ \iff A = B \]

Hence, \( d(A, B) = 0 \) if and only if \( A = B \).

(c) \( d(A, B) = d(B, A) \)

**Proof (c):**

\[ d(A, B) = \]

\[ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i)| \right) + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |\nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i)| \right) \]

To prove the triangular inequality we have to use the following trigonometric inequality:

\[ \sin(A + B) \leq \sin(A) + \sin(B) \quad \text{when} \quad A, B \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \]
Proof:

\[
\sin(A) + \sin(B) - \sin(A + B)
\]

\[
= \sin A + \sin B - \sin A \cos B - \sin B \cos A
\]

\[
= \sin A (1 - \cos B) + \sin B (1 - \cos A) \geq 0
\]

Since \( \sin A, \sin B, 1 - \cos A \geq 0 \) for \( A, A \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \).

\[
\sin(A) + \sin(B) - \sin(A + B) \geq 0
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \sin (A + B) \leq \sin (A) + \sin (B) \text{ when } A, B \leq \frac{\pi}{2}
\]

(d) If \( A, B, C \) be three intuitionistic fuzzy sets, then the distance measure satisfies the triangular inequality. I.e.

\[
d(A, C) \leq d(A, B) + d(B, C).
\]

Proof (d): Consider, \( A = \{(x_i, \mu_A(x_i), \nu_A(x_i)) : x_i \in X\} \), \( B = \{(x_i, \mu_B(x_i), \nu_B(x_i)) : x_i \in X\} \), and \( C = \{(x_i, \mu_C(x_i), \nu_C(x_i)) : x_i \in X\} \) be three intuitionistic fuzzy sets.

Now, from the basic inequality of real numbers we know that:

\[
\left| \mu_A(x_i) - \mu_C(x_i) \right| \leq \left| \mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i) \right| + \left| \mu_B(x_i) - \mu_C(x_i) \right|
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} \left| \mu_A(x_i) - \mu_C(x_i) \right| \right) \leq \sin \frac{\pi}{6} \left| \mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i) \right| + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} \left| \mu_B(x_i) - \mu_C(x_i) \right| \right)
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} \left| \mu_A(x_i) - \mu_C(x_i) \right| \right) \leq \sin \frac{\pi}{6} \left| \mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i) \right| + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} \left| \mu_B(x_i) - \mu_C(x_i) \right| \right)
\]

Eq. (3)

(since \( \sin (A + B) \leq \sin (A) + \sin (B) \) when \( A, B \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \); from above trigonometric inequality)

Similarly, \( \left| \nu_A(x_i) - \nu_C(x_i) \right| \leq \left| \nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i) \right| + \left| \nu_B(x_i) - \nu_C(x_i) \right| \)

\[
\Rightarrow \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} \left| \nu_A(x_i) - \nu_C(x_i) \right| \right) \leq \sin \frac{\pi}{6} \left| \nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i) \right| + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} \left| \nu_B(x_i) - \nu_C(x_i) \right| \right)
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} \left| \nu_A(x_i) - \nu_C(x_i) \right| \right) \leq \sin \frac{\pi}{6} \left| \nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i) \right| + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} \left| \nu_B(x_i) - \nu_C(x_i) \right| \right)
\]

Adding Eq.(3) and Eq.(4) we get

\[
\sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} \left| \mu_A(x_i) - \mu_C(x_i) \right| \right) + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} \left| \nu_A(x_i) - \nu_C(x_i) \right| \right)
\]

\[
\leq \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} \left| \mu_A(x_i) - \mu_B(x_i) \right| \right) + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} \left| \nu_A(x_i) - \nu_B(x_i) \right| \right) + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} \left| \mu_B(x_i) - \mu_C(x_i) \right| \right) + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} \left| \nu_B(x_i) - \nu_C(x_i) \right| \right)
\]
\[ \Rightarrow 1 + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_A(x) - \mu_C(x)| \right) + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2} |v_A(x) - v_C(x)| \right) \leq 1 + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_A(x) - \mu_B(x)| \right) + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_B(x) - \mu_C(x)| \right) + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |v_B(x) - v_C(x)| \right) \]

\[ \Rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_A(x) - \mu_C(x)| \right) + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |v_A(x) - v_C(x)| \right)} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_A(x) - \mu_B(x)| \right) + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_B(x) - \mu_C(x)| \right) + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |v_B(x) - v_C(x)| \right)} \]

\[ \Rightarrow 1 + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_A(x) - \mu_C(x)| \right) + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |v_A(x) - v_C(x)| \right) \leq 1 + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_A(x) - \mu_B(x)| \right) + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_B(x) - \mu_C(x)| \right) + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |v_B(x) - v_C(x)| \right) \]

\[ \Rightarrow \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_A(x) - \mu_C(x)| \right) + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |v_A(x) - v_C(x)| \right) \leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_A(x) - \mu_B(x)| \right) + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |\mu_B(x) - \mu_C(x)| \right) + \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6} |v_B(x) - v_C(x)| \right) \]

\[ \Rightarrow d(A, C) \leq d(A, B) + d(B, C) \]

Thus, it is clear that the proposed distance measure satisfies all the metric properties.
6. A CASE STUDY IN MEDICAL DIAGNOSIS

In this case study, the proposed distance measure has been applied in a decision-making problem of medical diagnosis. The data are taken from the study carried out by De et al., in 2001 [21]. In this case study, we consider a set of symptoms $S$, a set of diagnosis $D$ and a set of patients $P$. Let $P = \{\text{Arman, Bijit, Chandra, Deva}\}$, $S = \{\text{Temperature, Headache, Stomach pain, Cough, Chest pain}\}$ and $D = \{\text{Viral fever, Malaria, Typhoid Stomach pain, Chest problem}\}$. Our objective is to carry out the right decision of diagnosis for each patient, from the set of symptoms, for each disease.

The relation between symptom and disease and relation between patient and symptom is given in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

### Table 1. Symptoms-diseases intuitionistic fuzzy relation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Viral fever (Vf)</th>
<th>Malaria (Ml)</th>
<th>Typhoid (Ty)</th>
<th>Stomach Pain (Sp)</th>
<th>Chest Problem (Cpr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (Tm)</td>
<td>(0.4, 0.0)</td>
<td>(0.7, 0.0)</td>
<td>(0.3, 0.3)</td>
<td>(0.1, 0.7)</td>
<td>(0.1, 0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache (He)</td>
<td>(0.3, 0.5)</td>
<td>(0.2, 0.6)</td>
<td>(0.6, 0.1)</td>
<td>(0.2, 0.4)</td>
<td>(0.8, 0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach pain (St)</td>
<td>(0.1, 0.7)</td>
<td>(0.0, 0.9)</td>
<td>(0.2, 0.7)</td>
<td>(0.8, 0.0)</td>
<td>(0.2, 0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough (Co)</td>
<td>(0.4, 0.3)</td>
<td>(0.7, 0.0)</td>
<td>(0.2, 0.6)</td>
<td>(0.2, 0.7)</td>
<td>(0.2, 0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain (Cp)</td>
<td>(0.1, 0.7)</td>
<td>(0.1, 0.8)</td>
<td>(0.1, 0.9)</td>
<td>(0.2, 0.7)</td>
<td>(0.8, 0.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Patients- symptoms intuitionistic fuzzy relation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Temperature (Tm)</th>
<th>Headach (He)</th>
<th>Stomach pain (St)</th>
<th>Cough (Co)</th>
<th>Chest pain (Cp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arman</td>
<td>(0.8, 0.1)</td>
<td>(0.6, 0.1)</td>
<td>(0.2, 0.8)</td>
<td>(0.6, 0.1)</td>
<td>(0.1, 0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bijit</td>
<td>(0.0, 0.8)</td>
<td>(0.4, 0.4)</td>
<td>(0.6, 0.1)</td>
<td>(0.1, 0.7)</td>
<td>(0.1, 0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandra</td>
<td>(0.8, 0.1)</td>
<td>(0.8, 0.1)</td>
<td>(0.0, 0.6)</td>
<td>(0.2, 0.7)</td>
<td>(0.0, 0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deva</td>
<td>(0.6, 0.1)</td>
<td>(0.5, 0.4)</td>
<td>(0.3, 0.4)</td>
<td>(0.7, 0.2)</td>
<td>(0.3, 0.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now, we can represent the fevers as intuitionistic fuzzy sets for symptoms.

\[ V_f = \{ (T_m, 0.4, 0.0), (H_e, 0.3, 0.5), (S_t, 0.1, 0.7), (C_o, 0.4, 0.3), (C_p, 0.1, 0.7) \} \]

\[ M_l = \{ (T_m, 0.7, 0.0), (H_e, 0.2, 0.6), (S_t, 0.0, 0.9), (C_o, 0.7, 0.0), (C_p, 0.1, 0.8) \} \]

\[ T_y = \{ (T_m, 0.3, 0.3), (H_e, 0.6, 0.1), (S_t, 0.2, 0.7), (C_o, 0.2, 0.6), (C_p, 0.1, 0.9) \} \]

\[ S_p = \{ (T_m, 0.1, 0.7), (H_e, 0.2, 0.4), (S_t, 0.8, 0.0), (C_o, 0.2, 0.7), (C_p, 0.2, 0.7) \} \]

\[ C_p r = \{ (T_m, 0.1, 0.8), (H_e, 0.0, 0.8), (S_t, 0.2, 0.8), (C_o, 0.2, 0.8), (C_p, 0.8, 0.1) \} \]

Similarly, we can represent the patients as intuitionistic fuzzy sets as follows:

\[ A_r m a n = \{ (T_m, 0.8, 0.1), (H_e, 0.6, 0.1), (S_t, 0.2, 0.8), (C_o, 0.6, 0.1), (C_p, 0.1, 0.6) \} \]

\[ B i j i t = \{ (T_m, 0.0, 0.8), (H_e, 0.4, 0.4), (S_t, 0.6, 0.1), (C_o, 0.1, 0.7), (C_p, 0.1, 0.8) \} \]

\[ C h a n d r a = \{ (T_m, 0.8, 0.1), (H_e, 0.8, 0.1), (S_t, 0.0, 0.6), (C_o, 0.2, 0.7), (C_p, 0.0, 0.5) \} \]

\[ D e v a = \{ (T_m, 0.6, 0.1), (H_e, 0.5, 0.4), (S_t, 0.3, 0.4), (C_o, 0.7, 0.2), (C_p, 0.3, 0.4) \} \]

Now, the intuitionistic distance is measured between the disease and the patients in terms of their symptoms.

\[ d(V_f, A_r m a n) = \frac{2}{5} \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{6}|0.4 - 0.8| + \sin \frac{\pi}{6}|0.0 - 0.1|}{1 + \sin \frac{\pi}{6}|0.4 - 0.8| + \sin \frac{\pi}{6}|0.0 - 0.1|} \\
\frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{6}|0.3 - 0.6| + \sin \frac{\pi}{6}|0.5 - 0.1|}{1 + \sin \frac{\pi}{6}|0.3 - 0.6| + \sin \frac{\pi}{6}|0.5 - 0.1|} \\
\frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{6}|0.4 - 0.6| + \sin \frac{\pi}{6}|0.3 - 0.1|}{1 + \sin \frac{\pi}{6}|0.4 - 0.6| + \sin \frac{\pi}{6}|0.3 - 0.1|} \\
\end{array} \right\} + \frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{6}|0.2 - 0.8| + \sin \frac{\pi}{6}|0.8 - 0.1|}{1 + \sin \frac{\pi}{6}|0.2 - 0.8| + \sin \frac{\pi}{6}|0.8 - 0.1|} + \frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{6}|0.0 - 0.1| + \sin \frac{\pi}{6}|0.7 - 0.6|}{1 + \sin \frac{\pi}{6}|0.0 - 0.1| + \sin \frac{\pi}{6}|0.7 - 0.6|} \]
\[
\frac{2}{5} \left\{ (0.206505164 + 0.267048179 + 0.094753846 + 0.172909085 + 0.049733126) \right\} \\
= 0.31638
\]

\(d \ (V_f, Bijit)\)

\[
\frac{2}{5} \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\sin \frac{\pi}{6} |0.4 - 0.0| + \sin \frac{\pi}{6} |0.0 - 0.8| + \\
1 + \sin \frac{\pi}{6} |0.4 - 0.0| + \sin \frac{\pi}{6} |0.0 - 0.8|
\end{array} \right\} \\
= \frac{2}{5} \left\{ (0.380670095 + 0.094753846 + 0.362178203 + 0.267048179 + 0.049733126) \right\} \\
= 0.461753379
\]

\(d \ (V_f, Chandra)\)

\[
\frac{2}{5} \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
\sin \frac{\pi}{6} |0.4 - 0.8| + \sin \frac{\pi}{6} |0.0 - 0.1| + \\
1 + \sin \frac{\pi}{6} |0.4 - 0.8| + \sin \frac{\pi}{6} |0.0 - 0.1|
\end{array} \right\} \\
= \frac{2}{5} \left\{ (0.135594471 + 0.135594471 + 0.206955274 + 0.172713046 + 0.172909085) \right\} \\
= 0.329506539
\]
\[ d(V_f, Deva) = \frac{2}{5} \left( \sin \frac{\pi}{6} [0.4 - 0.6] + \sin \frac{\pi}{6} [0.0 - 0.1] \right) \]
\[ + \sin \frac{\pi}{6} [0.3 - 0.5] + \sin \frac{\pi}{6} [0.5 - 0.4] \]
\[ + \sin \frac{\pi}{6} [0.4 - 0.7] + \sin \frac{\pi}{6} [0.3 - 0.2] \]
\[ = \frac{2}{5} \{0.206505164 + 0.318211601 + 0.094753846 + 0.238060496 + 0.135594471\} \]
\[ = 0.397250232 \]

Similarly, the intuitionistic distance measure between the patients and the diseases can be found as:

\[ d(Malaria, Arman) = 0.295180017, d(Malaria, Bijit) = 0.569517852, \]
\[ d(Malaria, Chandra) = 0.458624202, d(Malaria, Deva) = 0.358685393 \]

\[ d(Typhoid, Arman) = 0.307891645, d(Typhoid, Bijit) = 0.394251314, \]
\[ d(Typhoid, Chandra) = 0.301192133, d(Typhoid, Deva) = 0.443461385 \]

\[ d(Stomach pain, Arman) = 0.607999785, d(Stomach pain, Bijit) = 0.18778627, \]
\[ d(Stomach pain, Chandra) = 0.523179889, d(Stomach pain, Deva) = 0.516935498 \]

\[ d(Chest problem, Arman) = 0.624377765, d(Chest problem, Bijit) = 0.486891124, \]
\[ d(Chest problem, Chandra) = 0.581709144, d(Chest problem, Deva) = 0.634699555 \]

In short, with the help of the following distance matrix, it can be shown to what extent the symptoms of disease differ by the symptoms of patients.
As due to the fact, less distance between patient and disease implies more possibility of having the disease, we can predict which disease is suffered by the four people. From the distance matrix, one can observe that, if the doctor agrees Arman suffers from Malaria, Bijit suffers from stomach pain, Chandra suffers from Typhoid and Deva suffers from viral fever.

Now, in the following table, the comparison has been given between the results obtained by different researchers and the results obtained using our proposed distance measures.

From Tables 3 comparison has been made and it is observed that the results obtained by using our proposed distance measure are similar with results obtained by (Ngan et al. [20], and Szmidt and Kacprzyk [14].

### Table 3. Comparison of existing results with the proposed distance measure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>[21]</th>
<th>[14]</th>
<th>[16]</th>
<th>[19]</th>
<th>[20]</th>
<th>Proposed Distance Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arman</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Viral fever</td>
<td>Viral fever</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bijit</td>
<td>Stomach problem</td>
<td>Stomach problem</td>
<td>Stomach problem</td>
<td>Stomach problem</td>
<td>Stomach problem</td>
<td>Stomach problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandra</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Typhoid</td>
<td>Typhoid</td>
<td>Typhoid</td>
<td>Typhoid</td>
<td>Typhoid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deva</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Viral fever</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Viral fever</td>
<td>Viral fever</td>
<td>Viral fever</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CONCLUSION

The objective of this paper is to develop a new distance measure for intuitionistic fuzzy sets, which is achieved. The novelty and efficiency has been established by applying the proposed distance measure in medical diagnosis making problem. The result which is evaluated using the new distance measure coincides with the earlier advance approaches. This shows the reliability and validity of the proposed distance measures.

As a future work, it will be beneficial to extend the proposed distance measure in a generalized form and use in the development of fuzzy decision-making problems in medical diagnosis.
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