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Abstract: Eating Disorders (ED) are a syndrome characterized by persistent alteration of eating behavior and the conditions that cause insufficient ingestion and/or adsorption of foods. There are three different ED diseases: Anorexia Nervosa (AN), Bulimia Nervosa (BN) and Binge Eating Disorders (BED). ED are complex conditions that arise from a combination of long-standing behavioral, emotional, psychological, interpersonal, and social factors. A common trait to all EDs is the incongruous diet, often based on arbitrary parameters, disconnected from physiological needs, with a strong alteration of the sensations of hunger and satiety, to which variations in weight, body composition, health status and quality of life of patients occur. Although EDs are relatively frequent psychiatric disorders in the general population, especially in adolescent and juvenile age groups, evidence based on the scientific evidence of the efficacy of the pharmacological treatment of EDs remains modest. The currently available international guidelines related to the pharmacological treatment of EDs are currently few and not always adequately informative, as they are affected by the lack of studies on the subject. As a further consequence of this it is not surprising that, with the sole exception of fluoxetine for the treatment of Bulimia Nervosa (BN) symptoms, no psychopharmaceutical has been authorized by national and international regulators for the treatment of ED.

This narrative review focuses on the advantages and limitations of drugs used in the treatment of ED.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Eating disorders (ED) are diseases with a high social impact, which mainly affect the younger sections of the population. Their clinical performance is characterized by frequent exacerbations and/or relapses, a tendency towards chronicity, significant medical sequelae and psychiatric comorbidity; this makes these pathologies one of the most serious and disabling in the psychiatric field, also considering the significant risk of associated mortality [1]. The clinical complexity of ED corresponds to the need to articulate necessarily multidimensional therapeutic projects, which are based on a wide range of skills and interventions that include at least nutritional rehabilitation, management of medical complications, psychotherapies and pharmacological treatment.

In particular, pharmacotherapy has so far proved more useful in the treatment of medical complications, malnutrition deficits and psychiatric comorbidities (extremely frequent in this type of pathology), than in inducing a real control of the core symptoms of ED. Basically, all the main classes of pharmacological agents have been used in clinical practice in an attempt to treat the clinical manifestations of ED, but the results from randomized controlled studies on the subject are still contradictory [2].

Although EDs are relatively frequent psychiatric disorders in the general population, especially in adolescent and juvenile age groups, evidence based on the scientific evidence of the efficacy of the pharmacological treatment of EDs remains modest. The currently available international guidelines relating to the pharmacological treatment of EDs are currently few [3 - 5] and not always adequately informative, as they are affected by the lack of studies on the subject. As a further consequence of this, it is not surprising that with the sole exception of fluoxetine for the treatment of Bulimia Nervosa (BN) symptoms and of lisdexamfetamine for the Binge Eating Disorder (BED), no psychopharmaceutical has been authorized by national and international regulators for the treatment of ED. The main available evidence concerning the pharmacotherapy of ED will be summarized below for each of the main classes of pharmacological agents active on the central nervous system.
The purpose of this review is to summarize all the various possible psychopharmacological options in the multidisciplinary and multidimensional treatment in ED.

2. ADVANTAGES AND LIMITATIONS OF DRUGS USED IN THE TREATMENT OF ED

2.1. Antipsychotics

Antipsychotic Drugs (AP) are often used, albeit with some caution, in the treatment of anorexia nervosa (AN). The pharmacodynamic action of AP is mainly focused on the modulation of dopaminergic and serotonergic systems, which can induce an increase in appetite and therefore a weight increase. Numerous evidence has shown that patients with severe mental disorders, such as schizophrenia or bipolar disorder, who are treated with AP drugs, tend to gain weight in a considerable percentage of cases [6]. Paradoxically, in the AN, the APs have not proved to be particularly useful for inducing weight recovery but states are rather used to treat other syndromic features characteristic of this disorder such as the distortion of the body image, the ideation pathologically associated with AN and in promoting weight recovery. A few case reports suggest that aripiprazole a partial dopamine agonist may also be effective in the treatment of AN [14]. In a chart review of 75 patients with AN who received either olanzapine or aripiprazole, aripiprazole showed the greatest effectiveness in reducing eating-related preoccupations and rituals with a large effect size [15]. In the literature, relatively small doses were used, in a case series published by Trunko et al., patients received aripiprazole doses of between 5 and 15 mg/day [16].

For example, Hagman et al. [17] verified the effect of risperidone (2.5 mg/day) in a group of 40 young women or adolescents with AN, compared to placebo, reporting an initial improvement in the indices related to food psychopathology, which however was not significantly higher than the end of the observation period; in addition, patients treated with risperidone had higher levels of prolactin and reported experiences of intrusive and coercive. The possible side effects of such drugs (eg extrapyramidal manifestations, cardiac complications such as QTc elongation, hyperprolactinemia and hypoestrogenism, with reduced bone density) make it risky to use in frail, underweight patients and with frequently associated electrolyte imbalances, such as those with AN. It is not surprising, therefore, that to date, the main international guidelines [3 - 5] consider the use of EMSs as a substantially secondary therapeutic alternative for the AN.

There are no randomized controlled trials on the use of antipsychotics in the treatment of Bulimia Nervosa (BN) and Binge Eating Disorder (BED); on the contrary, different evidence seems to suggest that EMS may even induce or exacerbate binge eating crises in patients with DCA, as well as in psychotic patients [18].

2.2. Antidepressants

The use of Antidepressant Drugs (AD) in the treatment of ED appears plausible not only in relation to the high rates of comorbidity of ED with mood disorders (even above 50%) [19], but also for the scientific evidence that they tend to demonstrate the involvement of serotonergic and noradrenergic neurotransmitter systems in the genesis of ED.

Numerous molecules with antidepressive action act as enhancers of the serotonergic system, which is significantly involved with modulation activities of numerous and relevant clinical and pathogenic aspects of ED; some manifestations typical of eating disorders such as the alteration of the sense of hunger and satiety, impulsivity, obsessiveness, aggression or anxiety, have been related in a functional hypotonous of the serotonergic system [20].

However, the role of EDs, both the so-called “tricyclics” (tricyclic antidepressants, TCA) and the more recent selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors, SSRIs) in inducing weight gain in patients with AN still appears today not significant. A systematic review of the data from the literature on the efficacy of AD in the treatment of the acute phase of the AN [20] revealed the presence of only four RCT studies on the subject, three of which were conducted with TCA (amitrplina and clomipramine) and one with SSRIs (fluoxetine); none of the RCTs examined reported significant clinical and/or body weight gains compared to the control group, while they detected greater potential cardiovascular risks for tricyclic drugs.
A possible explanation for the rather unsatisfactory results obtained by the serotonergic drugs in the acute phase of the AN can be related to the reduced intake of tryptophan, amino acid precursor of serotonin: it is probable that the irregularity of the feeding of patients with ED does not ensure optimal levels of tryptophan, such as to induce a production of the neurotransmitter sufficient to make the action of the drugs manifest; however, an RCT [21], although conducted in a non-amlimentary sample, showed that nutritional supplementation of amino acids (including also tryptophan) did not lead to symptomatic improvement, nor to greater efficacy of fluoxetine treatment in AN. Kaye [22] also hypothesized that the poor response to AD in the AN would be linked to prolonged fasts, which would have an adverse effect on the number of 5HT1a receptors and on the concentration of extracellular serotonin. Some double-blind RTCs have tested the use of fluoxetine in anorexic patients to evaluate their ability to reduce relapses, but with disappointing results [6].

Also the drugs that act on the metabolism of serotonin and noradrenaline (Noradrenergic and Specific Serotonergic Anti-depressants, NaSSA) have been used in the AN: a promi-sing case report [23] has revealed that the use of mirtazapine is able to determine a significant recovery weight and improved mood in a patient who had been suffering from AN for many years. The evidence of the literature, as a whole, does not support the use of AD in the treatment of AN, both in the acute phase and in the maintenance phase.

In contrast, many studies tend to favor the efficacy of various AD drugs (TCA, SSRI, SNRI and monoamine oxidase inhibitors, IMAO) in the treatment of BN, both for their ability to reduce binge eating crises and purging behaviors as for improving mood and anxiety [24 - 28]. Although effective enough, MAOIs and TCAs are not recommended for clinical use due to the frequent and severe adverse events associated with their use. As for SSRIs, various studies have shown not only a good efficacy in reducing the main symptoms of BN but also an excellent tolerability profile; the greatest evidence has accumulated for fluoxetine, citalopram, sertraline and fluvoxamine [2, 24 - 28]. Note that, in general, the dose of SSRI used in the treatment of BN is higher than that usually used in the treatment of depression [6].

Among the SSRIs, fluoxetine was the most studied molecule in patients with BN and is, to date, the only drug authorized by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of BN (at a dose of 60 mg/day). A large multi-center study compared fluoxetine (at a dose of 60 mg/day) with placebo, confirming the superiority of the drug compared to placebo compared to the reduction of both the binge eating crisis (67% vs. 33%) and the phenomena of purging (56% vs. 5%); lower doses of fluoxetine, on the other hand, were not as effective [29]. BN is a disease whose course is characterized by a tendency to chronic and frequent relapses: therefore, studies on short periods have limited clinical value. Most of the evidence of efficacy is supported, unfortunately, only by studies lasting a few months, also due to the poor compliance with the drugs of these patients [30]. The RTC study with the longer duration of observation (follow-up to 58 weeks) confirmed the efficacy of fluoxetine compared to placebo, not only in reducing episodes of binge/purging behaviors and obsessive-compulsive symptoms but also in reducing the frequency of relapses [31].

Treatment of the BN with the only AD available on the market for selectively noradrenergic action, reboxetine, was evaluated in only two uncontrolled studies, although with fairly encouraging results [32, 33].

Various AD, both tricyclic and SSRI, have also proved useful in the treatment of Binge Eating Disorder (BED), both with respect to the decrease in the frequency of Binge Eating (BE) and the improvement of depressive and anxious symptoms, often present in the clinical picture of these disorders [34]. A large meta-analysis on the subject, which aggregated data on over 300 patients with BED, showed that SSRI treatment favored a significant reduction in binge eating disorders compared to placebo [35]. Also, another meta-analysis of the same period conducted on seven studies, which examined 335 patients with BED, confirmed that the use of SSRIs was able to induce a significant reduction of binge eating crises, compared to use of placebo. Such meta-analyzes, however, reported a modest effect of SSRIs, when used to control the body weight of patients with DCA [36].

Regarding the “dual-action” AD drugs, that is able to induce a selective inhibition of both serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake, an open and uncontrolled study [37] on the use of venlafaxine in 35 women, obese or in overweight, reported a significant reduction in both binge eating and body weight crises. More recently, a double-blind RTC of 40 patients treated with another dual action AD (duloxetine, at a mean dose of 78 mg/day), showed sufficient efficacy of duloxetine in reducing the number and frequency of seizures. of binge, as well as body weight and depressive symp-tomatology [38].

For bupropion, an antidepressant with noradrenergic and dopaminergic action, there is some evidence [19] of efficacy in the treatment of both BN and BED, but these are two open studies on small samples; the use of bupropion is however not advisable in these clinical settings, due to the high risk of inducing epileptic seizures.

Some case reports have highlighted the efficacy of agomelatine, an antidepressant agonist of MT1 and MT2 receptors, in reducing the number and duration of the beginnings, the alimentary and depressive symptoms, assessed with the NEQ and HAM-D scale, in the treatment of the Night Eating Syndrome (NES) [39]. Sibutramine, an anti-obesity agent with a mechanism similar to AD (inhibition of serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake), has demonstrated in a number of controlled studies a significant efficacy in reducing both binge eating and body weight crises, compared to placebo [40 - 42]. In recent years, however, the frequent onset of cardiovascular adverse events has led to the withdrawal of sibutramine from the market, both in Europe and in the United States. To date, there are no controlled studies in the literature that directly compare the efficacy of various antidepressants, with different mechanisms of action, in the treatment of BN and BED.
2.3. Anti-Epileptics

In recent years, various studies have suggested the use of Anti-Epileptic Drugs (AED) and other mood stabilizers in the management of some forms of ED. There are various reasons for these therapeutic indications. Firstly, AEDs have been found useful in the treatment of various psychiatric conditions associated with ED, such as bipolar disorder, head-ache, anxiety disorders, personality disorders and substance abuse [43 - 48]. Moreover, many AEDs interact with various neurotransmitter systems involved in the regulation of appetite and body weight, in particular glutamatergic, GABAergic, serotonergic, dopaminergic and peptidergic systems [43, 44], with different effects: for example, valproate and pregabalin induces increased appetite and weight gain, while topiramate, zonisamide and phenalbicate lead to decreased appetite and decreased weight [18].

In particular, topiramate has been shown to have a broad spectrum of action on ED: it has been proposed both as an anti-binge eating and anti-purging agent and to promote weight loss, proving to be potentially useful for the treatment of BN and BED. Two controlled studies reported that the use of topiramate in the treatment of BN induced a significant reduction in binge and purging behaviors; in the first study, in patients treated with an average topiramate dose of 100 mg/day, binging and purging crises had decreased by 44.8% (compared to a 10% reduction in patients taking placebo) [45]; in the second study [46], topiramate (at a mean dose of 250 mg/day) induced a significant reduction in the frequency of binge eating episodes, elimination pipelines, body weight and disability detected by the “SF36 Health Survey” scale. Regarding the treatment of BED with this drug, a multicenter, controlled and randomized study, conducted in 407 patients followed for 16 weeks, reported that the use of an average dose of 300 mg/day topiramate-induced a net reduction in the frequency of episodes of binging, significant weight loss and improvement of psychiatric comorbidity, particularly with respect to the obsessive-compulsive aspects and impulsivity [47]. The efficacy of topiramate in reducing binge eating crises in patients resistant to other therapeutic approaches and in the forms arising after bariatric therapy has also been reported [48]. Topiramate, however, can induce some relevant adverse events (such as asthenia, impaired mnesic capacities and concentration, to mental confusion) that may sometimes limit their use in clinical practice [48].

Zonisamide, associated with CBT, has been shown to be useful in the treatment of 52 patients with obesity-associated BED, in an open label, one-year study, resulting in reduced binge eating and weight control [49]; zonisamide also has a profile of potentially dangerous adverse events in patients with DCA and its clinical use should be evaluated with caution.

2.4. Anti-Obesity Drugs

Orlistat, a gastrointestinal lipase inhibitor, has been proposed to reduce (albeit moderately) binge eating and to promote weight loss in patients with BED. In the study by Golay et al. [50], the use of orlistat (at a dose of 360 mg/day) associated with a moderately hypocaloric diet, induced a weight reduction of 7.4% (compared to 2.3% of placebo) in 24 weeks of treatment, but the number of bulimic crises was not significantly lower, compared to placebo.

2.5. Antagonistic Drugs of 5HT3 Receptors

Ondansetron is a drug predominately used in oncology due to its antinausea action during anti-neoplastic chemotherapy and has recently been evaluated for the treatment of vomiting in the BN. The authors of a four-week controlled study of 29 female patients found that ondansetron significantly reduced episodes of binge eating and self-induced vomiting compared to the placebo group [51].

2.6. Selective Inhibitory Drugs of the Pre-Synaptic Transport of Norepinephrine

Atomoxetine is a selective inhibitor of the norepinephrine pre-synaptic transport mechanism, without direct action on serotonin or dopamine transporters, authorized for the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. The drug was evaluated, in a single controlled study compared to placebo, lasting 10 weeks, in 40 patients with BED, at an average dose of 100 mg/day [52]; the authors of the study reported a reduction in the binge eating crises, the sensation of hunger and body weight in the treated group; the most common adverse effects during the study were xerostomia, nausea, insomnia, nervousness, headache and vertigo [52].

2.7. Hormonal Therapy

Considering the different hormonal imbalances that often arise in the course of AN, treatment with various opoterapic agents (above all, growth hormone - or growth hormone, GH and testosterone) has been proposed several times, to induce weight recovery in these patients. One of the first controlled studies on the subject [53] evaluated the effect of administration of 15 mg/kg/day of GH on 18 adolescents admitted with diagnosis of AN; after a 4-week observation period, the authors found no significant weight gain, but only a more rapid medical stabilization and a significant reduction in hospitalization days compared to the placebo group. More recently, Miller et al. [54] investigated the effects of testosterone use, transdermally administered to 38 women between the ages of 18 and 38; patients treated with testosterone had shown, compared to those treated with placebo alone, an improvement in mood, but no significant weight gain.

Hormone replacement therapy with Estrogen-Progestin (EP) for the treatment of amenorrhea in anorexic patients, although intuitively reasonable, does not present any substantial clinical advantage. The same eventuality of depriving haemorrhage, typical of non-continuous progesterone treatment, is not always possible if there is not adequate progestogen preparation of the endometrial mucosa. Furthermore, EP therapy is often experienced by patients as highly intrusive, almost as manipulation of one's own will and body. Finally, the frequent sensation of widespread turgidity and swelling, which often accompanies EP therapy, may accentuate weight and body shape concerns, typical of patients with DCA. Treatment with EP drugs can, therefore, find a rationale for use substantially when associated endocrinological diseases are present (such as polycystic ovarian syndrome, oligo-polymenorrhea, ovarian
cysts, metrome-noraggie of various etiology, etc.) or when it is necessary to ensure oral contraception to the user. Anti-androgenic drugs have also been used in the treatment of BN. In a controlled study [55], the combination of citalopram (40 mg/day) and flutamide (500 mg/day), a testosterone receptor antagonist, resulted in a more effective reduction of seizures in the 46 women studied. binge eating, both with respect to the group taking placebo alone and to the group taking citalopram alone.

2.8. Stimulants

Lisdexamfetamine (LDX) is a prodrug of the Central Nervous System (CNS) stimulant dextroamphetamine, a phenethylamine of the amphetamine class that is approved for treatment of children and adults with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) [56]. LDX influences the edonic system which involves the prefrontal areas, particularly the orbitofrontal cortex and corticostriatal circuits including the nucleus accumbens that play an important role in reward processes and upregulates Cocaine and Amphetamine Regulated Transcription (CART) expression [57].

LDX is the only drug approved by FDA for treatment of moderate to severe BED in adults. In a recent safety and tolerability trial, there was a 4-week initial titration dose which started at 30 mg/d LDX; the target and maintenance doses were between 50 and 70 mg LDX given over 48 weeks [57].

2.9. Cannabinoid Receptor Antagonist

Dronabinol is the stereochromical variant of delta-9-THC, called trans-delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol, the main psychoactive principle of Cannabis, a pharmacologically active form on the CB1 and CB2 receptors. The drug is registered, since 1985, for the treatment of nausea and vomiting in patients in cancer chemotherapy and for the stimulation of appetite in patients with AIDS-related syndrome and in the forms of cancer-based cachexia [58]. An increase in weight gain compared to placebo [59].

3. FUTURE PERSPECTIVES AND RESEARCHES

Several studies on the genetic aspects involved in the genesis of ED suggest that EDs present not only psychiatric but also immune or metabolic aspects and therefore it is reasonable to suppose that there are different subtypes in the different syndromic manifestations of ED [5]. For example, alterations in the inflammatory cytokine system have been reported in patients suffering from ED [60, 61] who are actively involved in the regulation of appetite and therefore we could think of the use of molecules able to act on these targets. Moreover, in food-related pathologies, with its imposing dietary alterations, the gastrointestinal microbiome is widely implicated for which probiotics, prebiotics and bioactive nutrients could have considerable therapeutic potential for influencing the regulation of appetite and psychopathology in ED [62]. Therefore it is definitely possible that in the near future we can find other pharmacological targets for the treatment of ED, not only in the central nervous system but also on the immune activity and on the bacteria, fungi and other species that make up and regulate our microbiome.

4. DISCUSSION

The treatment of eating disorders is mental health of particular complexity and must, therefore, be framed necessarily in a multidimensional perspective, in which the psychotherapeutic interventions overlap with nutritional rehabilitation and biological treatments. In this sense, pharmacological therapy should be provided within an integrated treatment path, in order not only to promote remission of the core symptoms in the acute phase of the disease but also to prevent relapses over time and to treat frequent psychiatric comorbidity. Unfortunately, ED pharmacotherapy is currently supported by still modest evidence based on a few randomized controlled trials [13]. The available RCTs often show, however, some significant limits. As already noted previously, the number of experimental groups of such studies is often insufficient to draw significant conclusions with respect to their actual clinical impact. Furthermore, no direct comparison studies have been conducted between the different psychotropic drugs in the treatment of ED and this also helps to limit the translational relapse of the data currently available in the literature on the subject. Equally small are also the controlled studies that evaluate the association between psychotherapies and drugs and those that can clarify which are the most appropriate augmentation and combination strategies, among different pharmacological agents in the treatment of ED (Tables 1-3).

In particular, the problem of insufficient duration of follow-up observations (often in the studies the subjects are assessed for a few weeks or a few months, while very rarely for more than a year) is particularly cogent in the case of ED: these morbid conditions, In fact, they often tend to a chronic course and a substantial lack of information regarding medium-long observation times does not allow clinicians to adequately and realistically evaluate the implications of these studies for pharmacological treatment for ED, in routine conditions [6].

Another element of high criticality in the evaluation of the effectiveness of pharmacological treatments for ED lies in the high number of “drop-outs” reported in the studies. A possible explanation for the low compliance to long-term treatment in these patients may be related to the experiences that the subjects with ED, and in particular the patients with AN, often experience in terms of intrusiveness, aggression and uncontrollability during the intake of treatments pharmacological. Furthermore, the possible side effects of the drugs (in particular, the weight gain caused by many of them) and the frequent organic comorbidities can negatively affect long-term compliance to drug treatment [14].

A further problem of the studies examined resides in the heterogeneity of the measures used in the various studies as outcome indicators: the ED are pathologies in themselves very heterogeneous with respect to the course and the clinical characteristics, which often pose to the clinician many problems concerning the individuation clear and unambiguous indicators of response to psychopharmacological therapies; the presence also in literature of measures often very different in the empirical studies could contribute to increase the heterogeneity of the available evidence and limit the possibility, for the clinician, to orientate more safely between the therapeutic opportunities for specific diagnostic subgroups. Finally, a fur-
ther element that can contribute to creating a distance between the results of the controlled studies and the clinical reality of the psychopharmacological treatment of EDs is represented by the lack of a clear standardization of the dosage of these drugs to be used in the various EDs; in most of the RCTs existing in the literature, there is, in fact, a wide poso-logical variability, even with respect to the same pathological condition [6, 13, 14]. Clearly, the variability of the organic conditions, the sensitivity to drugs and the different expression of their possible side effects in the individual subjects with ED, tends not to favor this standardization (often, for example, it is necessary to use lower dosages in patients with severe organic compromise or particularly sensitive to the effects of drugs). Moreover, even malnutrition (especially through hypoproteinemia and hypoalbuminemia, which reduce the blood transport of the drugs themselves) can induce significant changes in the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic characteristics of the various pharmacological agents, which in turn

### Table 1. AN Pharmacotherapy - General Considerations.

| ♦ Anorexic patients experience pharmacological intervention as an invasive, uncontrollable action as a strong, toxic and aggressive treatment. |
| ♦ Resistance to undertaking a cure is one of the most marked pathological traits in AN. |
| ♦ The AN therapy consists in setting up simultaneous or sequential interventions of individual and / or family psychotherapy, nutritional and pharmacological, being the symptoms of the disease multiplied by biological, psychological and family factors. |
| ♦ Some pilot studies have highlighted the usefulness of some second-generation antipsychotics (olanzapine, aripiprazole) in the treatment of anorexia nervosa. |
| ♦ Citalopram can reduce depression and anxiety during weight recovery |
| ♦ Fluoxetine does not promote weight recovery but can reduce relapse |
| ♦ Supplements of l-tryptophan in the diet do not increase the efficacy of fluoxetine. |

### Table 2. BN Pharmacotherapy

| ♦ SSRI |
| ♦ Tricyclic antidepressants with precaution for the marked side effects |
| ♦ SNRI (venlafaxine) |
| ♦ NDRI (bupropion but to be used carefully because it can lower the seizure threshold |
| ♦ Agomelatine for the treatment of NES |
| ♦ Antiepileptics (topiramate) |
| ♦ Short-term treatment seems able to reduce by 70% the frequency of binge eating and elimination behavior, as well as improving the emotional component. |
| ♦ Fluoxetine, 60 mg / day is the drug of choice: there is good evidence of efficacy, the side effects profile is modest and the only drug approved by the FDA for Bulimia Nervosa. |
| ♦ If the first drug used did not produce sufficient results, there is evidence that another antidepressant could be effective. |
| ♦ The minimum duration of an effective treatment should be no less than six months. |

### Table 3. BED Pharmacotherapy

| ♦ SSRI |
| ♦ SNRI (venlafaxine) |
| ♦ Antiepileptics (topiramate, zonisamide) |
| ♦ Pancreatic lipase inhibitors (orlistat) |
| ♦ Lisdexanfetamina |
| ♦ In the BED a therapeutic strategy is indicated in which the initial use of drug therapy, to initiate weight loss and reduce binge crises, then follows a psychotherapy (cognitive-behavioral type) aimed at acting on the psychological factors involved in the etiopathogenesis of the disorder. |

### Table 4. Summarizes these therapies with their effect (good/moderate/poor) on the 3 eating disorders: AN, BN, BED and include side-effects.

| ANOREXIA NERVOSA |
| Therapies Effect Side effects |
| SGA (olanzapine) moderate sedation, hyperglycemia |
| SGA (aripiprazole) moderate vertigo, akathisia |
further contribute to determine a discrepancy in the dosing guidelines in this clinical setting [6, 13, 14].

Despite the limitations listed above, the available scientific evidence relating to pharmacotherapy seems to reveal that it may potentially play an important role in the management of EDs. Although in fact, with the exception of fluoxetine for the treatment of BN, no drug has been officially authorized for therapeutic use in ED, the use of psychotropic drugs for the treatment of ED has steadily increased over the years. A recent retrospective study [63] evaluating the clinical management of AN in 520 women reported that the EMS prescription for the AN rose from 8.9% in the period 1999-2002 to 18.5%, in the period 2003-2009, and at the time of detection to over 50% of patients had been prescribed psychopharmacological drugs (48% with antidepressant drugs and 13% with antipsychotics) [20, 30].

Although the use of psychotropic drugs in ED should be considered essentially an off-label practice (which should, therefore, be implemented under the direct responsibility of the doctor, with informed consent from the patient or his parents, if underage), current empirical evidence on psychopharmacotherapy of the EDs allow to formulate cautious suggestions regarding its implementation in clinical practice [30].

The use of SSRIs, in particular of high dose fluoxetine, in the treatment of BN, allows to reduce the episodes of binge and purging and binge crises in patients with BED, in the short term. Also for topiramate, there is good evidence of effectiveness in reducing the frequency and the amount of binge episodes with weight reduction, both in the BN and in the BED therapy. To date, the data supporting the use of low doses of second-generation antipsychotics (except that, partially, for olanzapine) in an attempt to reduce the polarized ideation on weight and body shapes, the obsessive components, are modest. and anxious in anorexic patients [18].

Moreover, although the controlled studies are still few and with various methodological limitations, antidepressants seem to have a slightly more useful role than other drugs in the childhood and adolescent forms of ED, especially when the subject has other psychiatric manifestations in comorbidity (especially depression), while atypical antipsychotics play an even more limited role than in adult forms [18].

Table 4 summarizes the described therapies with their effect (good/moderate/poor) on the 3 eating disorders: AN, BN, BED and include possible side-effects.
CONCLUSION

Pharmacotherapy is already a non-negligible therapeutic alternative in patients who do not respond in an adequate way to psychotherapies, in severe forms where malnutrition or frequent binge/purging crises limit or prevent the psychotherapeutic approach altogether, again, when there are significant psychiatric comorbidities (such as mood disorders, anxiety disorders, insomnia, marked obsessive-compulsive symptoms, personality and behavioral disorders) [64 - 66]. Psychotropic drugs, while not yet playing a significant role, decisive or sufficient in the treatment of ED, can be a valuable aid in clinical practice and some experimental studies have shown its usefulness, at least in some cases. Despite the methodological limitations of studies carried out over the last few decades, research into drug therapy for EDs has made significant progress [2, 3, 63]. To fully answer the question regarding the real usefulness of pharmacotherapy for EDs, however, it will be necessary for studies in the coming years to be conducted with longer longitudinal evaluations, with better defined outcome indicators (for example, not related only to body weight, but also to eating behaviors and cognitive profiles), in sufficiently large samples and representative of the so called “real world” (or even those patients with serious conditions of malnutrition and / or psychiatric and medical comorbidities). Only on the basis of solid empirical evidence will it be possible to consider the optimal objective [64, 65] to design for each patient with ED, a truly individualized and integrated therapeutic program, which combines the most effective pharmacological therapy with the most appropriate psychotherapeutic treatment, depending of indicators both “diagnostic” (that is, related to the type of pathology) and “clinical” (that is, related to the individual characteristics of the patient) (Fig. 1).
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